arXiv:1411.6893v1 [math.AP] 25 Nov 2014

Some existence results for the modified binormal curvature flow equation

Haidar Mohamad [1]

November 26, 2014

Abstract

We establish existence and uniqueness results for the modified binormal curvature flow equation that generalizes the binormal curvature flow equation for a curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this generalization, the velocity of the curve is still directed along the binormal vector, but the magnitude of the speed is allowed to depend on th parametrization of the curve, the time and the position of the point in the space. We achieve our objective via a generalized form of the Schrödinger map equation.

AMS: 76B99

[1]Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Boîte Courrier 187, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: tartousi@ann.jussieu.fr

1 Introduction

1.1 Statement of the main results

The modified binormal curvature flow equation for $\gamma : [0, T[\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\partial_t \gamma = g\left(\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\wedge$ denotes the usual vector product in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \cup \{+\infty\}$, x is the arc-length parameter of the curve $\gamma(t,.)$ for all $t \in [0, T[$ and g is a real function depending on t, x and eventually on γ.

The binormal curvature flow equation corresponds to $g \equiv 1$, namely

$$\partial_t \gamma = \partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma. \tag{BF}$$

Note that this equation is compatible with the arc-length parametrization condition, since

$$\partial_t \left|\partial_x \gamma\right|^2 = 2\partial_x \gamma \cdot \partial_x \partial_t \gamma = 2\partial_x \gamma \cdot \left(\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^3 \gamma + \partial_x^2 \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma\right) = 0.$$

If $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B})$ denotes the Frenet–Serret frame along the curve γ, with $\mathbf{T}$ the tangent vector, $\mathbf{N}$ the normal vector and $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{T} \wedge \mathbf{N}$ the binormal vector, then (BF) can be written in the geometrical form

$$\partial_t \gamma = \kappa \mathbf{B} \tag{1.2}$$

where κ denotes the curvature of γ at the considered point. Recall that the curvature κ and the torsion τ are defined by the Frenet-Serret formula

$$\partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

The formulation (1.2) indicates that the curve evolves with a velocity proportional to its curvature and oriented in the direction of the binormal vector. Taking into account the formulation (1.2), Hasimoto



Figure 1: Frenet-Serret frame.

[10] proved that if we define the complex wave function Ψ by

$$\Psi(t,x) = \kappa(t,x) \exp\left(i \int_0^x \tau(t,s) ds\right), \tag{1.4}$$

where κ and τ are the curvatures and the torsions for a family of curves animated by (BF), then Ψ satisfies the focusing cubic Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Psi + \partial_x^2 \Psi + \frac{1}{2}\left(|\Psi(t,x)|^2 + A(t)\right)\Psi = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where $A(t)$ is a real function which can be removed by means of an integrating factor. The inverse transformation, when it is well defined and in particular when Ψ does not vanish, allows to pass from the focusing cubic Schrödinger equation to the binormal curvature flow equation.

There is also a link between (BF) and a class of particular solutions for the three-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation (see [11])

$$i\partial_t\Psi + \Delta\Psi + (1 - |\Psi|^2)\Psi = 0.$$

Such solutions behave like vortex filaments located along tubular neighborhoods of closed curves. It is expected in this case that the asymptotic limit of tubular evolution is given by the binormal curvature flow in the asymptotic limit where the section of the tubes tends to zero. More specifically, if $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter denoting the radius of a section of a tubular neighborhood, then it is expecting that the motion of the curved axis of the tube is animated by the equation (see for example [11, 12])

$$\partial_t\gamma = G\kappa\mathbf{B},$$

where

$$G = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi}\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + O(1)\right),$$

and Γ denotes the vortex intensity of the tube.



Figure 2: Approximation (LIA).

This asymptotic limit is sometimes used for the study of the three-dimensional Euler equation, where it is called the local induction approximation (LIA). In this context, it was formally derived by Da Rios [16] in 1906 and rediscovered by Arms and Hama [1] in 1965. Further analysis concerning the limitation of this model were realized in [6, 17].

Using equation (1.5) (which is integrable), Hasimoto wrote an explicit formula for a solution of (BF) that has constant torsion τ and a curvature κ given by $\kappa(t,x) = 2\nu\,\mathrm{sech}(\nu(x - 2\tau t))$, where ν is a constant. Another family of exact solutions for (BF) were studied in [9, 15]. Their curvature and torsion are given by

$$\kappa(t,x) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{t}}, \tau(t,x) = \frac{x}{2t}, \quad t > 0,$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$. In terms of γ, there exists a smooth function G_a such that

$$\gamma(t,x) = \sqrt{t}G_a(x/\sqrt{t}).$$

This solution satisfies formally at $t = 0$

$$\gamma(0,x) = xA^-1_{]-\infty,0[} + xA^+1_{]0,-\infty[},$$

where $A^\pm$ are two unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\sin(\widehat{(A^+,A^-)}/2) = \exp(-\pi a^2/2)$. Hence $\gamma(0,.)$ is an infinite broken line. Rigorous studies of the behavior of these solutions and some of their perturbations were

realized in [2, 3, 4] and numerical simulations in [14, 7]. Existence and stability properties for weak solutions of (BF) corresponding to closed curves with corners were studied in [13, 12].

Our goal in this paper is to prove existence results for the Cauchy problem associated to a generalization of equation (BF). More specifically, while in the formulation (BF) the velocity is proportional to the curvature with an identical coefficient at every point of the curve, We generalize (BF) by assuming that the proportionality coefficient depends on t, on the arc-length parameter x, and possibly on the position of the point in the space $\gamma(t,x)$. In other words,

$$\partial_t \gamma = g\kappa B, \tag{1.6}$$

with $g = g(t,x,\gamma(t,x))$, or equivalently,

$$\partial_t \gamma = g\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma. \tag{1.7}$$

Note that this equation preserves the arc-length, as does the equation (BF), since

$$\partial_t \left|\partial_x \gamma\right|^2 = 2\partial_x \gamma \cdot \partial_x \partial_t \gamma = 2\partial_x \gamma \cdot \left(g\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^3 \gamma + g\partial_x^2 \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma + (\partial_x g + \nabla_\gamma g \cdot \partial_x \gamma)\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma\right) = 0.$$

To simplify the statements of our results, we assume that g is smooth, that all of its derivatives are bounded, and that there exists some positive constant α such that $g \geq \alpha$.

When $g = g(t,x)$ does not depend on γ, by letting $u = \partial_x \gamma$ and deriving (1.7) with respect to x we get, at least formally,

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x \left(u \wedge g\partial_x u\right) = u \wedge \Delta_g(u), \tag{1.8}$$

where $\Delta_g(u) \equiv \partial_x \left(g(x)\partial_x u\right)$. Note that if g depends explicitly on γ, equation (1.8) is no longer formed by u. We will use this last equation together with Lemma 1.1 below, to solve the Cauchy problem associated to (1.7).

Lemma 1.1 *Let I be an interval containing zero. Assume that $g \equiv g(t,x)$. Let $u \in L^\infty(I, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^2))$ be a weak solution of (1.8) such that $u \in L^\infty(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, and let Γ_u be the function defined by*

$$\Gamma_u(t,x) = \int_0^x u(t,z)dz \quad \textit{for all} \quad (t,x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.9}$$

Then there exists a unique continuous function $c_u : I \to \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $c_u(0) = 0$ such that the function $\gamma_u \in L^\infty(I, H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3))$, defined by

$$\gamma_u(t,x) = \Gamma_u(t,x) + c_u(t),$$

is a solution of (1.7) on $I \times \mathbb{R}$.

A similar result was proved in [12], when $u(t,.)$ is $l-$periodic.

The case $g \equiv 1$ in (1.8) corresponds to the well-known equation for Schrödinger maps with values in S^2 ($|u| = 1$ is due to the arc-length parameterization)

$$\partial_t u = u \wedge \partial_x^2 u. \tag{1.10}$$

The corresponding Cauchy problem was studied by Guo and Zhou [8] in 1984 when $u(t,.)$ is defined on some segment of $\mathbb{R}$, and by Bardos, Sulem and Sulem [5] in 1986 when $u(t,.)$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$). They have proved that if the initial data has its gradient in L^2, then (1.10) has a global weak solution in $L^\infty(H^1_{loc})$. Our approach is similar to theirs an uses a semi-discrete scheme (discrete in space and continuous in time), some particular bounds on the discrete problems and a compactness argument. In this way, we prove the

Theorem 1.2 *Let $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \to S^2$ be such that $\frac{du_0}{dx} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $T > 0$ and let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ be such that $g \geq \alpha$ for some constant $\alpha > 0$. Then equation (1.8) has a solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^2))$ with $u(0, .) = u_0$ and $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Moreover, if $g = g(x)$, then $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Lemma 1.1 allows to translate this result into a result for (1.7). A similar result holds for periodic solutions in x. Specifically,

Theorem 1.3 *Let $l > 0$, $T > 0$ and $\mathbb{T}^l := \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}$. Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^l, S^2)$ and let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^l))$ be given such that there exists $\alpha > 0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then the equation (1.8) has a solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T, H^1(\mathbb{T}^l, S^2))$ with $u(0, .) = u_0$. Moreover, if $g = g(x)$, then $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1(\mathbb{T}^l, S^2))$.*

Concerning the strong solutions, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4 (Local well-posedness for regular solutions) *Let $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \to S^2$ be such that $\frac{du_0}{dx} \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$. Assume that there exists $\alpha > 0$ with $g \geq \alpha$. Then there exists $T_1 = T_1(g, u_0) > 0$ such that equation (1.8) has a unique solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T_1, H^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $u(0, .) = u_0$ and $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(0, T_1, H^2(\mathbb{R}))$.*

The uniqueness is obtained from the following quantitative comparison theorem:

Theorem 1.5 *Let $T > 0$ and let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function verifying the assumptions of Theorem 1.4. Let u and $\tilde{u}$ be two solutions for (1.8) with initial datum $u_0, \tilde{u}_0 : \mathbb{R} \to S^2$, respectively. Assume that that $u_0 - \tilde{u}_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that $\partial_x u, \partial_x \tilde{u} \in L^\infty(0, T, H^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Then there exists two positive constants C_1, C_2, depending on g, T, and the H^2 norm of $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0}{\partial x}$, such that*

$$\|u(t, .) - \tilde{u}(t, .)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 \|u_0 - \tilde{u}_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$\|u(t, .) - \tilde{u}(t, .)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 \|u_0 - \tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

for almost every $t \in]0, T[$.

Concerning the case $g = g(t, x, \gamma)$, we have

Theorem 1.6 *Assume that $g = g(t, x, \gamma)$ for some function $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3))$ and that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $g \geq \alpha$. Let $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be such that $\frac{d^2\gamma_0}{dx^2} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $T_1 = T_1(g, \gamma_0)$ such that equation (1.1) has a solution $\gamma \in L^\infty(0, T_1, H^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $\gamma(0, .) = \gamma_0$ and $\partial_x^2 \gamma \in L^\infty(0, T_1, H^1(\mathbb{R}))$.*

1.2 Reconstruction of the flow γ

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be an interval containing 0, let $u \in L^\infty(I, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution for (1.8) and let the function $\Gamma_u \in L^\infty(I, H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ be defined by

$$\Gamma_u(t, x) = \int_0^x u(t, z) dz. \tag{1.11}$$

In the sense of distributions on $I \times \mathbb{R}$, We have

$$\partial_x \left(\partial_t \Gamma_u - g \partial_x \Gamma_u \wedge \partial_x^2 \Gamma_u \right) = 0. \tag{1.12}$$

By construction, all the curves $\Gamma_u(t, .)$ have the same base point $\Gamma_u(t, 0)$ fixed at the origin. If they were smooth, equation (1.12) would directly imply the existence of a function $c_u = c_u(t)$ such that the function

$$\gamma_u(t, x) = \Gamma_u(t, x) + c_u(t)$$

is a solution to (1.1) with $g = g(t,x)$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_u(t) &= \gamma_u(t,x) - \Gamma_u(t,x) \\ &= \gamma_u(0,x) + \int_0^t g(\tau,x)u(\tau,x) \wedge \partial_x u(\tau,x)d\tau - \int_0^x u(t,z)dz \\ &= \gamma_u(0,0) + \int_0^x (u(0,z) - u(t,z))\, dz + \int_0^t g(\tau,x)u(\tau,x) \wedge \partial_x u(\tau,x)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function c_u represents the evolution in time of the actual base point of the curves $\gamma_u(t,.)$.

The relation between the modified binormal curvature flow equation (1.1) and the equation (1.8) is specified in Lemma 1.1, stated above, whose proof we now present.

1.2.1 Proof of Lemma 1.1

Define $a \in \mathcal{D}'(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ by letting

$$a(t,x) = \int_0^x (\omega(0,z) - \omega(t,z))\, dz + \int_0^t g(\tau,x)\omega(\tau,x) \wedge \partial_x \omega(\tau,x)d\tau.$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z)dz = 1$ and set

$$c_\omega(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z)a(t,z)dz.$$

By construction, we have $c_\omega(0) = 0$ and, since $\omega \in W^{1,\infty}(I, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$, we also have $c_\omega \in \mathcal{C}(I,\mathbb{R}^3)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x(\partial_t a) &= \partial_t\partial_x\Gamma_\omega - \partial_x\left(g\omega \wedge \partial_x\omega\right) \\ &= \partial_t\omega - \omega \wedge \Delta_g\omega \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

since ω is a solution to (1.8). Hence $\partial_t a(t,x)$ does not depend on x which implies in turn that, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(I,\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I c_\omega(t)\cdot\varphi'(t)dt &= \int_I\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z)a(t,z)\cdot\varphi'(t)dtdz \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(z)\int_I \partial_t a(t,z)\cdot\varphi(t)dtdz \\ &= -\int_I \partial_t a(t,z)\cdot\varphi(t)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Relation (1.14) means that

$$c'_\omega = \partial_t a = -\partial_t\Gamma + g\omega \wedge \partial_x\omega \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(I,\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{1.15}$$

Now we show that the function γ_ω, defined on $I \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\gamma_\omega(t,x) = \Gamma_\omega(t,x) + c_\omega(t),$$

is a solution to (1.1) on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. To this end, let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t,z)dz \in \mathcal{D}(I,\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Then, in view of (1.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_t \gamma_\omega - g\partial_x\gamma_\omega \wedge \partial_x^2\gamma_\omega, \psi\rangle_{I\times\mathbb{R}} &= \langle \partial_t\Gamma_\omega - g\omega\wedge\partial_x\omega, \psi\rangle_{I\times\mathbb{R}} + \langle c_\omega, \psi\rangle_{I\times\mathbb{R}} \\ &= -\langle \partial_t a, \varphi\rangle_I + \langle c_\omega', \varphi\rangle_I \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle , \rangle_{I\times\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the duality pairing between $\mathcal{D}'(I\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{D}(I\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^3)$, while $\langle , \rangle_I$ denotes that between $\mathcal{D}'(I,\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{D}(I,\mathbb{R}^3)$. This proves the existence of c_ω. The uniqueness of c_ω follows by noting that any other function $\tilde{c}_\omega$ is required to be continuous with $\tilde{c}_\omega(0) = 0$, and since its distributional derivative $\tilde{c}_\omega' = \partial_t a = c_\omega$.

2 Approximation by discretization of the Schrödinger map equation

We present here the strategy of proof of theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. We discretise, in space, the continuous system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_x\left(u\wedge g\partial_x u\right) = u\wedge\partial_x\left(g\partial_x u\right), \quad t\geq 0, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,.) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

in the following sense:
For some $h>0$, we consider the sequence $u_h \equiv \{u_h(t,x_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the semi-discrete system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_h}{dt} = D^+\left(u_h\wedge g_h D^- u_h\right) = u_h\wedge D^+\left(g_h D^- u_h\right), \quad t\geq 0, \\ u_h(0,x_i) = u_h^0(x_i), \quad i\in\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, is a uniform subdivision of $\mathbb{R}$ with step h, $g_h \equiv \{g(t,x_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and D^+, D^- are two operators approximating the derivative operator ∂_x. The sequence $\{u_h^0(x_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is constructed such that it converges to u_0 in certain sense. We solve the problem (2.2) in some space discretising the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ where our research for solving the continuous problem (2.1) takes a place. Then, we prove boundedness properties for the discrete derivatives D^+u_h, $D^-D^+u_h$ and $D^+D^-D^+u_h$. This allows us, by using the compactness properties in the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, to extract a subsequence $\{u_h\}_h$ [1] which converges to a solution of (2.1). The proof of Theorem 1.5 is standard. We consider two solutions u and $\tilde{u}$ with initial datum u_0 and $\tilde{u}_0$ respectively, then we prove Grönwall-type inequalities for $\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{H^1}$ and $\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{H^2}$. For Theorem 1.6, we follow the same strategy followed in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

In what follows, we define the elements of the discrete problem (2.2). Then, we prove some convergence properties before we skip to the proofs of main theorems.

Definition 2.1 *Let $h>0$. Let*

$$\mathbb{Z}_h = \{x_i\in\mathbb{R}, \quad x_{i+1}-x_i = h \quad \forall i\in\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We define the two spaces L^2_h and L^∞_h by

$$L^2_h = \{v_h = \{v_h(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}, \quad \sum_i |v_h(x_i)|^2 < +\infty\},$$

$$L^\infty_h = \{v_h = \{v_h(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}, \quad \sup_i |v_h(x_i)| < +\infty\}.$$

[1] To give sense to the notation $\{u_h\}_h$, we can consider $h:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}^+$ to be a strictly decreasing function which to zero when $n\to+\infty$. We have made this choice for its simplicity.

We define the scalar product $(,)_h$ *on* L_h^2 *by*

$$(u_h, v_h)_h = h \sum_i v_h(x_i) \cdot u_h(x_i), \quad u_h, v_h \in L_h^2.$$

Its associated norm $|.|_h$ *is defined by*

$$|v_h|_h^2 = h \sum_i |v_h(x_i)|^2.$$

Let $l > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $h = \frac{l}{N}$. *We define the space of* N*-periodic sequences*

$$P_{l,N} = \{v_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}, \quad v_h(x_i) = v_h(x_{i+N}), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We define the scalar product $(,)_{l,N}$ *by*

$$(u_h, v_h)_{l,N} = h \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_h(x_i) \cdot u_h(x_i).$$

Its associated norm $|.|_{l,N}$ *is defined by*

$$|v_h|_{l,N}^2 = h \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} |v_h(x_i)|^2.$$

Let $v_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$. *We define the left and the right approximations of the derivatives in* x_i *by*

$$\begin{cases} D^- v_h(x_i) = \frac{v_h(x_i) - v_h(x_{i-1})}{h}, \\ D^+ v_h(x_i) = \frac{v_h(x_{i+1}) - v_h(x_i)}{h}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for two sequences $u_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i$ *and* $v_h = \{v_h(x_i)\}_i$, *we have*

$$D^{\pm}(u_h v_h) = \tau^{\pm} u_h D^{\pm} v_h + D^{\pm} u_h v_h,$$

with

$$\tau^{\pm} u_h(x_i) = u_h(x_{i \pm 1}).$$

The two spaces L_h^2 and $P_{l,N}$ verify the following property

Lemma 2.2 *1. Let* $v_h \in L_h^2$, *then* $D^+ v_h \in L_h^2$ *and we have*

$$|D^+ v_h|_h \leq \frac{2}{h} |v_h|_h.$$

2. Let $v_h \in P_{l,N}$, *then* $D^+ v_h \in P_{l,N}$ *and we have*

$$|D^+ v_h|_{l,N} \leq \frac{2}{h} |v_h|_{l,N}, \quad h = \frac{l}{N}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from the inequality

$$|D_h^+ v_h(x_i)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{h^2} (|v_h(x_i)|^2 + |v_h(x_{i+1})|^2).$$

■

Definition 2.3 *We define the norm*

$$|v_h|^2_{H^1_h} = |v_h|^2_h + |D^+ v_h|^2_h, \quad v_h \in L^2_h,$$

and the space

$$H_h^{-1} = \left\{ v_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}, \quad \sup_{u_h \in L^2_h} \frac{\langle v_h, u_h \rangle_h}{|u_h|_{H^1_h}} < +\infty \right\}.$$

It is clear that $L^2_h \subset H_h^{-1}$ *and the function* $v_h \mapsto |v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} \equiv \sup_{u_h \in L^2_h} \frac{\langle v_h, u_h \rangle_h}{|u_h|_{H^1_h}}$ *define a norm on* H_h^{-1}. *Similarly, we define the norms*

$$|v_h|^2_{H^1_{l,N}} = |v_h|^2_{l,N} + |D^+ v_h|^2_{l,N},$$

$$|v_h|_{H^{-1}_{l,N}} = \sup_{u_h \in P_{l,N}} \frac{\langle v_h, u_h \rangle_{l,N}}{|u_h|_{H^1_{l,N}}}, \quad v_h \in P_{l,N}.$$

The two norms $|.|_{H_h^{-1}}$ *and* $|.|_{H^{-1}_{l,N}}$ *are the dual norms of* $|.|_{H^1_h}$ *and* $|.|_{H^1_{l,N}}$ *with respect to scalar product* $\langle , \rangle_h$ *et* $\langle , \rangle_{l,N}$ *respectively.*

Lemma 2.4 (discrete integration by parts formula) *For all* $(v_h, u_h) \in L^\infty_h \times \in L^2_h$, *we have*

$$\sum_i v_h(x_i) \cdot D^+ u_h(x_i) = -\sum_i u_h(x_i) \cdot D^- v_h(x_i). \tag{2.3}$$

Similarly, for all $v_h, u_h \in P_{l,N}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N v_h(x_i) \cdot D^+ u_h(x_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^N u_h(x_i) \cdot D^- v_h(x_i). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $v_h \in L^\infty_h$, $u_h \in L^2_h$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$. We develop the sum $\sum_{i=-K}^K v_h(x_i) \cdot D^+ u_h(x_i)$ and we make a change in index, then (2.3) holds by using the property $\lim_{|i| \to +\infty} |u_h(x_i)| = 0$ and the assumption ($v_h \in L^\infty_h$). In the second case, we simply develop the sum $\sum_{i=1}^N v_h(x_i) \cdot D^+ u_h(x_i)$ and make a change in index, then we use the periodicity of v_h and u_h. ■

Definition 2.5 *Let* $h > 0$. *We denote* $C_i = [x_i, x_{i+1}[, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* P_h *and* Q_h *be the two interpolation operators defined, for all* $v_h = \{v_h(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$, *by*

$$Q_h v_h : \quad \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad x \mapsto Q_h v_h(x) = v_h(x_i), \quad \forall x \in C_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$P_h v_h : \quad \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad x \mapsto P_h v_h(x) = v_h(x_i) + D^+ v_h(x_i)(x - x_i), \quad \forall x \in C_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In all what follows we keep the notation of this definition. We have the following important lemma

Lemma 2.6 *1. Let* $\{v_h\}_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ *be such that*

$$\begin{cases} v_h \in H_h^{-1}, & \forall h > 0, \\ \exists C > 0, & |v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} < C. \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence $\{P_h v_h\}_h$ *is bounded in* $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

2. Let $l > 0$ and $\{v_h\}_h$ be a sequence satisfying

$$\begin{cases} h = \frac{l}{N}, \\ v_h \in P_{l,N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \exists C > 0, \quad |v_h|_{H^{-1}_{l,N}} < C, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence $\{P_h v_h\}_h$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^l)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_h v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} &= \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\langle P_h v_h, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\langle P_h v_h, P_h \varphi_h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}} + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\langle P_h v_h, \varphi - P_h \varphi_h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

with $\varphi_h = \{\varphi(x_i)\}_i$. Since

$$\|\varphi - P_h \varphi_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq h \|(\varphi - P_h \varphi_h)'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{(Poincaré)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\varphi - P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} (P_h \varphi_h).(\varphi - P_h \varphi_h) dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} (P_h \varphi_h)'.(\varphi - P_h \varphi_h)' dx \\ &\geq \|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\varphi - P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} - 2h \|P_h \varphi_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\varphi - P_h \varphi_h)'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that for all $h < h_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\varphi - P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left(\|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \|\varphi - P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain by substituting in (2.5)

$$\|P_h v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\langle P_h v_h, P_h \varphi_h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|P_h \varphi_h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}} + \sqrt{2} h \|P_h v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.6}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left| \frac{x_i - x}{h} \varphi(x_i) + \frac{x - x_i}{h} \varphi(x_{i+1}) \right|^2 dx + \sum_i h \left| \frac{\varphi(x_i) - \varphi(x_{i+1})}{h} \right|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_i \frac{h}{3} \left(|\varphi(x_i)|^2 + |\varphi(x_{i+1})|^2 + \varphi(x_{i+1}) \varphi(x_i) \right) + |D^+ \varphi_h|^2_h \\ &\geq \sum_i \frac{h}{6} \left(|\varphi(x_i)|^2 + |\varphi(x_{i+1})|^2 \right) + |D^+ \varphi_h|^2_h, \end{aligned}$$

from which we can write

$$\|P_h \varphi_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \geq \frac{1}{3} |\varphi_h|^2_h + |D^+ \varphi_h|^2_h \geq \frac{1}{3} |\varphi_h|^2_{H^1_h}. \tag{2.7}$$

We have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P_h v_h, P_h\varphi_h\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (v_h(x_i) + D^+v_h(x_i)(x-x_i)).(\varphi(x_i) + D^+\varphi_h(x_i)(x-x_i))dx \\
&= (v_h,\varphi_h)_h + \frac{h}{2}(v_h, D^+\varphi_h)_h + \frac{h}{2}(D^+v_h,\varphi_h)_h + \frac{h^2}{3}(D^+v_h, D^+\varphi_h)_h \\
&= (v_h,\varphi_h)_h + \frac{h}{2}(v_h, D^+\varphi_h)_h - \frac{h}{2}(v_h, D^-\varphi_h)_h + \frac{h^2}{3}(D^+v_h, D^+\varphi_h)_h \\
&\leq (v_h,\varphi_h)_h + h|v_h|_h|D^+\varphi_h|_h + \frac{h^2}{3}|D^+v_h|_h|D^+\varphi_h|_h, \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_h v_h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |v_h(x_i) + D^+v_h(x_i)(x-x_i)|^2 dx \\
&\leq 2\sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (|v_h(x_i)|^2 + |D^+v_h(x_i)|^2(x-x_i)^2)dx \\
&= 2|v_h|_h^2 + \frac{2h^2}{3}|D^+v_h|_h^2. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by combining (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_h v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{(v_h,\varphi_h)_h + h|v_h|_h|D^+\varphi_h|_h + \frac{h^2}{3}|D^+v_h|_h|D^+\varphi_h|_h}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}|\varphi_h|_{H_h^1}} + 2h|v_h|_h + \frac{2h^2}{\sqrt{3}}|D^+v_h|_h \\
&\leq \sqrt{6}(|v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} + h|v_h|_h + \frac{h^2}{3}|D^+v_h|_h) + 2h|v_h|_h + \frac{2h^2}{\sqrt{3}}|D^+v_h|_h \\
&\leq \sqrt{6}|v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} + (\sqrt{6}+2)h|v_h|_h + 2(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})h|v_h|_h{}^2 \\
&\leq \sqrt{6}|v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} + (\sqrt{6}+2+2\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}})h|v_h|_h \\
&\leq C|v_h|_{H_h^{-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
|v_h|_{H_h^{-1}} &= \sup_{u_h} \frac{(v_h,u_h)_h}{|u_h|_{H_h^1}} \\
&\geq \sup_{u_h} \frac{(v_h,u_h)_h}{[|u_h|_h^2 + \frac{4}{h^2}|u_h|_h^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{h}{\sqrt{h^2+4}} \sup_{u_h} \frac{(v_h,u_h)_h}{|u_h|_h} \\
&\geq \frac{h}{\sqrt{h_0^2+4}}|v_h|_h, \quad \forall h \geq h_0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of 2 is similar to that of 1. ■

The following lemma shows that the space L_h^2, equipped with the norm $|.|_{H_h^1}$, is continuously embedded in L_h^∞.

Lemma 2.7 *There exist two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $h > 0$ and $v_h \in L_h^2$ we have*

$$C_2|v_h|_h \leq \|P_h v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1|v_h|_h.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |u_h(x_i) + D^+u_h(x_i)(x-x_i)|^2 dx &= h|u_h(x_i)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2 u_h(x_i)\cdot D^+u_h(x_i) + \frac{1}{3}h^3|D^+u_h(x_i)|^2 \\ &= \frac{5}{6}h|u_h(x_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{6}hu_h(x_i)\cdot D^+u_h(x_i) + \frac{1}{3}h|u_h(x_{i+1})|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{3}{4}|u_h(x_i)|^2+\frac{1}{4}|u_h(x_{i+1})|^2 \le \frac{5}{6}|u_h(x_i)|^2-\frac{1}{6}u_h(x_i)\cdot D^+u_h(x_i)+\frac{1}{3}|u_h(x_{i+1})|^2 \le \frac{11}{12}|u_h(x_i)|^2+\frac{5}{12}|u_h(x_{i+1})|^2,$$

we have

$$|v_h|_h^2 \le \|P_hv_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \le \frac{4}{3}|v_h|_h^2.$$

■

Corollary 2.8 *If $v_h \in L_h^2 \subset L_h^\infty$, then $P_hv_h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and there exists $C>0$ (which does not depend on h) such that*

$$|v_h|_{L_h^\infty} \le C|v_h|_{H_h^1}.$$

Proof. Since $\frac{dP_hv_h}{dx} = Q_hD^+v_h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have $P_hv_h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Lemma 2.2). On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_hv_h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &= \sup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\sup_{x\in[x_i,x_{i+1}[}|u_h(x_i)+D^+u_h(x_i)(x-x_i)| \\ &= \sup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\max(|u_h(x_i)|,|u_h(x_{i+1})|) \\ &= |v_h|_{L_h^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

The space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in the space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Sobolev) and there exists $\tilde{C}>0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le \tilde{C}\|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}|v_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2 &= \|P_hv_h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\le \tilde{C}^2\|P_hv_h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\le \tilde{C}^2(C_1^2|v_h|_h^2 + \|Q_hD^+v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) \\ &\le C^2|v_h|_{H_h^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

■

3 Proofs of main theorems

Let us first show some important properties.

3.1 Convergence properties

Lemma 3.1 *1. Let $\{v_h\}_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ be such that*

$$v_h \in L_h^2, \quad \forall h,$$

and

$$\exists C>0, \quad |v_h|_h \le C. \tag{3.1}$$

Then the sequence $\{P_hv_h - Q_hv_h\}_h$ converges weakly to zero in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

2. *Let $l > 0$ and $\{v_h\}_h$ be a sequence satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} h = \frac{l}{N}, \\ v_h \in P_{l,N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\exists C > 0, \quad |v_h|_{l,N} \leq C. \tag{3.2}$$

Then $\{P_h v_h - Q_h v_h\}_h$ converges weakly to zero in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^l)$.

Moreover, if $\{Q_h v_h\}_h$ converges to v in L^2 ($L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or $L^2(\mathbb{T}^l)$), then $\{P_h v_h\}_h$ converges to the same limit in L^2.

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_h v_h - Q_h v_h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |D^+ v_h(x_i)|^2 (x - x_i)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} h^3 \sum_i |D^+ v_h(x_i)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} h^2 |D^+ v_h|_h^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} |v_h|_h^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} C^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| &\leq |\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, Q_h \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ &\quad + \|P_h v_h - Q_h v_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\varphi - Q_h \varphi_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varphi_h = \{\varphi_(x_i)\}_i$. We have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - Q_h \varphi_h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_i)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |\int_{x_i}^{x} \varphi'(s) ds|^2 dx \\ &\leq \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (\int_{x_i}^{x} |\varphi'(s)|^2 ds)(x - x_i) dx \\ &\leq \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x} |\varphi'(s)|^2 ds \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2} \|\varphi'\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, Q_h\varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| &= \frac{h}{2}|\langle D^+ v_h, \varphi_h\rangle_h| \\
&= \frac{h}{2}|\langle v_h, D^-\varphi_h\rangle_h| \\
&\le \frac{h}{2}|v_h|_h|D^-\varphi_h|_h \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}C\left[h\sum_i|\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i}\varphi'(s)ds|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}Ch\|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Then combining (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$|\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \le (\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{1}{2})C\|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}h.$$

Thus the proof of 1. is completed. The proof of 2 is similar to that of 1. To prove the strong convergence property, let $v \in L^2$, then it suffices to note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_h v_h - Q_h v_h\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_i\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}|D^+ v_h(x_i)|^2(x-x_i)^2dx \\
&= \frac{1}{3}h^3\sum_i|D^+ v_h(x_i)|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{3}\|\tau_{-h}Q_h v_h - Q_h v_h\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\tau_h w = w(\cdot - h)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tau_{-h}Q_h v_h - Q_h v_h\|_{L^2} &\le \|\tau_{-h}Q_h v_h - v\|_{L^2} + \|Q_h v_h - v\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \|\tau_h v - v\|_{L^2} + 2\|Q_h v_h - v\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the fact that $\lim_{h\to 0}\|\tau_h v - v\|_{L^2} = 0$ completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.2 *1. Let $v \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\{v_h\}_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ be such that the sequence $\{Q_h v_h\}_h$ converges to v in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star. Then the sequence $\{P_h v_h\}_h$ converges to v in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star.*

2. Let $l > 0$, $v^l \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^l)$ and $\{v_h\}_h$ be a sequence satisfying

$$\begin{cases} h = \frac{l}{N}, \\ v_h \in l_N, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ Q_h v_h \to v^l \quad in \quad H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^l) \quad weak\ star. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{P_h^l v_h\}_h$ converges to v^l in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^l)$ weak star.

Proof. First, we prove that $P_h v_h \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}), \forall h$. To this end, we first write

$$P_h v_h = Q_h v_h + (P_h - Q_h)v_h.$$

Then, it suffices to prove that $(P_h - Q_h)v_h \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}), \forall h$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\varphi_h = \{\varphi(x_i)\}_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| &\leq |\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, Q_h\varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| + |\langle P_h v_h - Q_h v_h, \varphi - Q_h\varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\
&\leq \frac{h}{2}|(D^+ v_h, \varphi_h)_h| + |\sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (D^+ v_h(x_i). \int_{x_i}^{x} \varphi'(s)ds)(x - x_i)dx| \\
&\leq \frac{h}{2}|(v_h, D^-\varphi_h)_h| + |h^2\sqrt{h}\sum_i |D^+ v_h(x_i)|. \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |\varphi'(x)|^2 dx| \\
&\leq \frac{h}{2}|v_h|_h |D^-\varphi_h|_h + h^2 |D^+ v_h|_h \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{h}{2}|v_h|_h \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2h|v_h|_h \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{5}{2}h|v_h|_h \|\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $\{h|v_h|_h\}_h$ is bounded. Indeed, the sequence $\{Q_h v_h\}_h$ converges to v in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|Q_h v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$ for all h, hence we have

$$\frac{\langle Q_h v_h, R_h^N v_h\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|R_h^N v_h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}} \leq C, \quad \forall h, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $R_h^N v_h$ is a piecwise function with compact support (hence $R_h^N v_h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$) such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle Q_h v_h, R_h^N v_h\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = h\sum_{-N}^{N} |v_i|^2, \\ \|R_h^N v_h\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq h^{-1}\sum_{-N}^{N} |v_i|^2. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

For example, we can take

$$R_h^N v_h = Q_h \tilde{v}_h + \sum_i D^+\tilde{v}_h \chi(x - x_i),$$

where $\tilde{v}_h = \{\tilde{v}_h(x_i)\}_i$ with $\tilde{v}_h(x_i) = \begin{cases} v_h(x_i), & |i| \leq N \\ 0, & |i| > N, \end{cases}$ and χ is given by

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \quad x < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad x > h \\ -\frac{3}{2}x, \quad x \in [0, \frac{h}{3}[\\ \frac{3}{2}(x - \frac{2h}{3}), \quad x \in [\frac{h}{3}, \frac{2h}{3}[\\ 3(x - \frac{2h}{3}), \quad x \in [\frac{2h}{3}, h[. \end{cases}$$



Figure 3: The function χ.

Since

$$\frac{h\sum_N^N |v_i|^2}{\left[h^{-1}\sum_{-N}^N |v_i|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C, \quad \forall h, \forall N \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get $h|v_h|_h \leq C, \forall h$. Finally, we have $\|(P_h - Q_h)v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C, \forall h$, then $\|P_h v_h\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C, \forall h$.

To show that $\{P_h v_h\}_h$ converges to v in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ weak star, we need to prove that

$$P_h v_h \rightharpoonup v, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

To this end, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote $\tau_h \varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi + \varphi(. - h))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P_h v_h, \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (v_h(x_i) + D^+ v_h(x_i)(x - x_i)) \cdot \varphi(x) dx \\
&= \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left(\frac{v_h(x_i) + v_h(x_{i+1})}{2} + D^+ v_h(x_i)(x - x_i - \frac{h}{2})\right) \cdot \varphi(x) dx \\
&= \langle Q_h v_v, \tau_h \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left(D^+ v_h(x_i)(x - x_i - \frac{h}{2}) \cdot \int_{x_i}^{x} \varphi(t) dt\right) dx \\
&= \langle Q_h v_v, \tau_h \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^h \int_0^s (s - \frac{h}{2}) \left(\sum_i D^+ v_h(x_i) \cdot \varphi'(x_i + \rho)\right) d\rho ds \\
&= \langle Q_h v_v, \tau_h \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_0^s (s - \frac{h}{2}) \left(\sum_i v_h(x_i) \cdot (\varphi'(x_{i-1} + \rho) - \varphi'(x_i + \rho))\right) d\rho ds \\
&= \langle Q_h v_v, \tau_h \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_0^s (s - \frac{h}{2}) \left(\sum_i v_h(x_i) \cdot \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi''(x + \rho) dx\right) d\rho ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} Q_h v_h \to v, & \text{in} \quad H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{weak star}, \\ \tau_h \varphi \to \varphi, & \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}); \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

hence $\langle Q_h v_v, \tau_h \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \to \langle v, \varphi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_i v_i \cdot \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi''(x + \rho) dx \leq |v_h|_h \|\varphi''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_0^s (s - \frac{h}{2}) \left(\sum_i v_h(x_i) \cdot \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \varphi''(x + \rho) dx\right) d\rho ds\right| &\leq h^2 |v_h|_h \|\varphi''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq Ch \|\varphi''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the proof of 1. is completed. The proof of 2 is similar to that of 1. ■

We establish now a compactness result which will be useful in the proofs of main theorems.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $T > 0$ and $\{u_h\}_h$ be a sequence of elements in $L^\infty(0, T, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$. Assume that $\{u_h\}_h$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that the sequence $\{\partial_t u_h\}_h$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then we can extract from $\{u_h\}_h$ a subsequence converging in $\mathcal{C}(0, T, L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the following proposition

Proposition 3.4 *([18]) Let X, B and Y be three Banach spaces such that $X \subset B \subset Y$. Assume that the embedding $X \subset B$ is compact. Let F be some bounded subset in $L^\infty(0,T,X)$ such that the subset $G = \{\partial_t f, \quad f \in F\}$ is bounded in $L^r(0,T,Y)$, with $1 < r \leq \infty$. Then F is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}(0,T,B)$.*

We denote by $I_k =]-k,k[$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the three spaces $X = H^1(I_k)$, $B = L^2(I_k)$ and $Y = H^{-1}(I_k)$. The embedding $H^1(I_k) \subset L^2(I_k)$ is compact, hence using previous proposition, we can extract from $\{u_h\}_h$ a subsequence (depending on k) which converges in $\mathcal{C}(0,T,L^2(I_k))$. Thus the diagonal subsequence of Cantor converges in $C(0,T,L^2(I_k))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We construct a weak solution for the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_x \left(u \wedge g(x)\partial_x u\right) = u \wedge \partial_x \left(g \partial_x u\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

as a limit, when $h \to 0$, of a sequence $\{u_h\}_h$ of solutions to the semi-discrete system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_h}{dt} = D^+ \left(u_h \wedge g_h D^- u_h\right) = u_h \wedge D^+ \left(g_h D^- u_h\right), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_h(0) = u_h^0, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where $u_h^0 = \{u_h^0(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ with $|u_h^0(x_i)| = 1$ and $g_h = \{g(t,x_i)\}_i$.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $u_h^0 = \{u_h^0(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ be such that $|u_h^0(x_i)| = 1$, and $D^+ u_h^0 \in L_h^2$. Let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ be such that $g \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then equation (3.11) has a global solution $u_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h})$ with $|u_h(t,x_i)| = 1$ and $D^+ u_h \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, L_h^2)$.*

Proof. Let $h > 0$. We provide the space

$$E_h = \{v_h \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}, \quad v_h \in L_h^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad D^+ v_h \in L_h^2\},$$

with the norm

$$\|v_h\|_h = |v_h|_{L_h^\infty} + |D^+ v_h|_h, \quad \forall v_h \in E_h,$$

for which the space $(E_h, \|.\|_h)$ is a Banach space. Let $R > 0$ and $\Omega = B_{E_h}(u_h^0, R)$. We define the function

$$\begin{cases} F : \Omega \to E_h : \quad v_h \mapsto F(v_h), \\ (F(v_h))(x_i) = D^+(v_h \wedge (g_h D^- v_h))(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^2}\left(g(x_i)v_h(x_i) \wedge v_h(x_{i-1}) - g_h(i+1)v_h(x_{i+1}) \wedge v_h(x_i)\right). \end{cases}$$

In what follows we denote $\beta = \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$. Let $u_h, v_h \in \Omega$. We have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_h)(x_i) - F(v_h)(x_i) \quad = \quad & \frac{g_h(x_i)}{h^2}\left[u_h(x_i) \wedge (u_h(x_{i-1}) - v_h(x_{i-1})) + (u_h(x_i) - v_h(x_i)) \wedge v_h(x_i)\right] \\ & + \frac{g_h(x_{i+1})}{h^2}\left[(v_h(x_{i+1}) - u_h(x_{i+1})) \wedge v_h(x_i) + u_h(x_{i+1})(v_h(x_i) - u_h(x_i))\right], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$|F(v_h) - F(u_h)|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \frac{4\beta}{h^2}(R + \|u_h^0\|_h)|v_h - u_h|_{L_h^\infty}. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}|D^+(F(v_h)-F(u_h))|_h &= |D^+[D^+(g_h(v_h\wedge D^-v_h - u_h\wedge D^-u_h))]|_h\\ &\le \frac{4\beta}{h^2}|v_h\wedge D^-v_h - u_h\wedge D^-u_h|_h\\ &\le \frac{4\beta}{h^2}(|v_h|_{L^\infty_h}|D^-(v_h-u_h)|_h + |D^-u_h|_h|D^-(v_h-u_h)|_h)\\ &\le \frac{4\beta}{h^2}(R+\|u_h^0\|_h)(|D^-(v_h-u_h)|_h + |D^-(v_h-u_h)|_h).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|F(v_h)-F(u_h)|_h \le \frac{4\beta}{h^2}(R+\|u_h^0\|)\|v-u\|_h. \tag{3.13}$$

Then, combining (3.12) and (3.13), we deduce that

$$\|F(v_h)-F(u_h)\|_h \le \frac{8\beta}{h^2}(R+\|u_h^0\|_h)\|v_h-u_h\|_h.$$

Thus F is locally Lipschitz-continuous and Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem holds. Hence there exists $T^*\in \mathbb{R}_*^+\cup\{+\infty\}$ and $u_h : [0,T^*[\to (E_h,\|\|_h)$ satisfying (3.11). Taking the usual $\mathbb{R}^3-$scalar product in (3.11) with u_h, we find that $\frac{d}{dt}|u_h(t,x_i)|=0$, hence $|u_h(t,x_i)|=|u_h^0(x_i)|=1$ on $[0,T^*[$. Then we have $\|u_h\|_h = 1+|D^+u_h|_h$ which gives T^* the following characterisation

$$\limsup_{t\to T^*}|D^+u_h(t)|_h = +\infty \quad \text{if} \quad T^*<+\infty.$$

Taking the L^2_h-scalar product in (3.11) with $D^+\left(g_hD^-u_h\right)$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_i g_h|D^-u_h(x_i)|^2(t,x_i) = \sum_i \partial_t g(t,x_i)|D^-u_h(x_i)|^2(t,x_i),$$

from which and by using the Grönwall lemma, we obtain

$$|D^+u_h(t)|_h = |D^-u_h(t)|_h \le \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}|D^+u_h^0|_h\exp\left(\frac{\beta_1 t}{2\alpha}\right) \quad \forall t\in[0,T^*[.$$

This means that $\lim_{t\to T^*}\|u_h\|_h \neq +\infty$, hence we finally get $T^*=+\infty$. ■

In what follows, we consider $T>0$ fixed. For each sequence $\{v_h\}_h$ of elements in L^2_h, we have $\left(\frac{du_h}{dt},v_h\right)_h = -\left(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h, D^-v_h\right)_h$, hence

$$\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_{H_h^{-1}} \le \beta\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}|D^+u_h^0|\exp\left(\frac{\beta_1 t}{2\alpha}\right). \tag{3.14}$$

Let $\{u_h^0\}_h$ be a sequence satisfying

$$\begin{cases} Q_hu_h^0\to u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}),\\ Q_hD^+u_h^0\to \frac{du_0}{dx} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).\end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

Then we have

Lemma 3.6 *The sequence of solutions $\{u_h\}_h$ satisfying (3.11), with initial data $\{u_h^0\}_h$ satisfying (3.15), has the properties*
i) $\{\partial_tP_hu_h\}_h$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$.
ii) $\{P_hu_h\}_h$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proof. Property i) is an immediate result of (3.14) and Lemma 2.6.
ii) Let $I=[a,b]\subset\mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_hu_h\|^2_{H^1(I)} &= \sum_i\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}\left|\frac{x_i-x}{h}u_h(x_i)+\frac{x-x_i}{h}u_h(x_{i+1})\right|^2dx+\sum_i h\left|\frac{u_h(x_i)-u_h(x_{i+1})}{h}\right|^2dx\\ &\le \sum_i\frac{h}{3}\left(|u_h(x_i)|^2+|u_h(x_{i+1})|^2+u_h(x_i)u_h(x_{i+1})\right)+|D^+u_h|_h^2\\ &\le b-a+2h+|D^+u_h^0|_h^2,\end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $\{|D^+u_h^0|_h\}_h$ is bounded, since $Q_hD^+u_h^0\to\frac{du_0}{dx}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. ■

Since $\{P_hu_h\}_h$ and $\{\partial_tP_hu_h\}_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $L^\infty(0,T,H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ respectively and in view of Lemma 3.3, there exists a subsequence $\{u_h\}_h$ and u such that $\{P_hu_h\}_h$ converges to u in $L^2(0,T,L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ and almost everywhere. Moreover, $\{\partial_tP_hu_h\}_h$ converges to ∂_tu in $L^\infty(0,T,H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. The sequence $\{Q_hu_h\}$ converges also to u almost everywhere. To show that the second member $\{P_hD^+\left(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h\right)\}_h$ converges to $\partial_x\left(u\wedge g(x)\partial_xu\right)$, we note first that by Lemma 3.1, the two sequences $\{P_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)\}_h$ and $\{Q_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)\}_h$ converge to the same limit in $L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. Since

$$Q_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)=Q_hu_h\wedge(Q_hg_hQ_hD^-u_h),$$

and

$$\begin{cases}Q_hg_h\to g & \text{almost everywhere,}\\ Q_hu_h\to u & \text{almost everywhere,}\\ Q_hD^-u_h\to\partial_xu & \text{in } L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R})) \text{ weak star,}\end{cases}\tag{3.16}$$

we have

$$Q_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)\to u\wedge(g\partial_xu)\quad\text{in}\quad L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R}))\quad\text{weak star,}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}P_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)\to u\wedge(g\partial_xu) & \text{in } L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R})) \text{ weak star,}\\ \partial_xP_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h)\to\partial_x\left(u\wedge(g\partial_xu)\right) & \text{in } L^\infty(0,T,H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})) \text{ weak star.}\end{cases}\tag{3.17}$$

It is clear that

$$Q_hD^+\left(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h\right)=\partial_xP_h(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h),$$

then using lemma 3.2, the sequence $\{P_hD^+\left(u_h\wedge g_hD^-u_h\right)\}_h$ converges to $\partial_x\left(u\wedge(g\partial_xu)\right)$ in $L^\infty(0,T,H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star.

When $g=g(x)$ does not depend on time, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(x)|\partial_xu(t,x)|^2dx=0,$$

then

$$\|\partial_xu(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le\frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{du_0}{dx}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

and $u\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this proof we use, without details, the same techniques of previous proof. Let $l>0$ and $T>0$. We construct a solution $u\in L^\infty(0,T,H^1(\mathbb{T}^l,S^2))$ for the system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_tu=\partial_x\left(u\wedge g\partial_xu\right)=u\wedge\partial_x\left(g\partial_xu\right), & t\ge0,\quad x\in\mathbb{T}^l,\\ u(0,x)=u_0(x).\end{cases}\tag{3.18}$$

as a limit, when $h \to 0$, of a sequence $\{u_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i \in P_{l,N}\}_h$ (with $h = \frac{l}{N}$) of solutions to the semi-discrete system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_h}{dt} = D^+ \left(u_h \wedge g_h D^- u_h\right) = u_h \wedge D^+ \left(g_h D^- u_h\right), \quad t > 0, \\ u_h(0) = u_h^0, \\ u_h(t, x_0) = u_h(t, x_N), \quad t \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

with $|u_h(x_i)^0| = 1$, and $g_h = \{g(t, x_i)\}_i$ such that $g(t, x_0) = g(t, x_N)$.

Proposition 3.7 *Let $u_h^0 \in P_{l,N}$ (with $h = \frac{l}{N}$) be such that $|u_h^0(x_i)| = 1$, and $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^l))$ be such that $g \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists a solution $u_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, P_{l,N})$ for (3.19) with $|u_h(t, x_i)| = 1$ for every i.*

Proof. Let $l > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $h = \frac{l}{N}$. We provide the space $P_{l,N}$ with the norm

$$|v_h|_{L_h^\infty} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |v_h(x_i)|, \quad \forall v_h \in P_{l,N},$$

which makes $(P_{l,N}, |.|_{L_h^\infty})$ a Banach space. Let $R > 0$ and $\Omega = B_{P_{l,N}}(u_h^0, R)$. We define the function $F : \Omega \to P_{l,N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (F(v_h))(x_i) &= D^+(v_h \wedge (g_h D^- v_h))(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(g_h(x_i) v_h(x_i) \wedge v_h(x_{i-1}) - g_h(x_{i+1}) v_h(x_{i+1}) \wedge v_h(x_i)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we follow the same steps followed to demonstrate Proposition 3.5. ■

The rest of proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2 and requires property (2.4) and results of Lemmas 2.6, 3.1 and 3.2.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We denote

$$\Delta_{g_h} v_h = D^+(g_h D^- v_h) = D^-(\tau^+ g_h D^+ v_h), \quad D^2 = D^+ D^- = D^+ D^-, D^3 = D^+ D^- D^+,$$

and $g_h^t = \{\partial_t g(t, x_i)\}_i$. Since g is given in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}))$, then there exist $\beta, \beta_1, \beta', \beta_1', \beta'', \beta_2''$ and β''' such that

$$\begin{cases} |g_h|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta, \quad |g_h^t|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta_1 \\ |D^+ g_h|_{L_h^\infty} = |D^- g_h|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta', \quad |D^+ g_h^t|_{L_h^\infty} = |D^- g_h^t|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta_1' \\ |D^2 g_h|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta'', \quad |D^2 g_h^t|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta_2'' \\ |D^3 g_h|_{L_h^\infty} \leq \beta'''. \end{cases}$$

Our proof include several steps

3.4.1 Step 1

In this step, we establish two a priori estimates in $\frac{du_h}{dt}$, $D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}$, $\Delta_{g_h} u_h$ and $D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h$.
We start by proving that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left| \frac{du_h}{dt} \right|_h^2 + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2 \right) \leq C_1 \left(\left| \frac{du_h}{dt} \right|_h^2 + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2 \right)^2 + C_2, \tag{3.20}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants independent of h. For any two sequences $u_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i$ and $v_h = \{u_h(x_i)\}_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{g_h}(u_h v_h) &= D^+(g_h\tau^- v_h D^- uh + g_h u_h D^- v_h)\\ &= \tau^+\tau^- v_h\Delta_{g_h}u_h + g_h D^+(\tau^- v_h)D^- u_h + \tau^+(g_h D^- v_h)D^+ u_h + u_h\Delta_{g_h}v_h\\ &= v_h\Delta_{g_h}uh + g_h D^- v_h D^- u_h + \tau^+ g_h D^+ v_h D^+ u_h + u_h\Delta_{g_h}v_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

We derive (3.11) with respect to t

$$\frac{d^2u_h}{dt^2} = (u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h}u_h)\wedge\Delta_{g_h}u_h + u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h}(u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h}u_h) + u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h^t}u_h. \tag{3.22}$$

Using (3.21) and $|u_h(t,x_i)| = 1$ together with equation (3.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2u_h}{dt^2} &= (u_h\cdot\Delta_{g_h}u_h)\Delta_{g_h}u_h - |\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2u_h\\ &\quad + u_h\wedge(g_hD^-u_h\wedge D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h + \tau^+g_hD^+u_h\wedge D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h + u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h}^2u_h)\\ &= u_h\wedge\Delta_{g_h^t}u_h + (u_h\cdot\Delta_{g_h}u_h)\Delta_{g_h}u_h - |\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2u_h + (u_h\cdot\Delta_{g_h}^2u_h)u_h - \Delta_{g_h}^2u_h\\ &\quad + E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E &= g_hu_h\wedge(D^-u_h\wedge D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h) + \tau^+g_hu_h\wedge(D^+u_h\wedge D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h)\\ &= g_h(u_h\cdot D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h)D^-u_h + \tau^+g_h(u_h\cdot D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h)D^+u_h\\ &\quad -g_h(u_h\cdot D^-u_h)D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h - \tau^+g_h(u_h\cdot D^+u_h)D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$u_h\cdot D^\pm u_h = \mp\frac{h}{2}(D^\pm u_h)^2,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^+g_h(u_h\cdot D^+u_h)D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h &= -\frac{h}{2}\tau^+g_h(D^+u_h)^2D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h\\ &= -\frac{h}{2}\left\{D^-[(D^+u_h)^2\tau^+(g_h\Delta_{g_h}u_h)] - D^-(\tau^+g_h(D^+u_h)^2)\Delta_{g_h}u_h\right\}\\ &= -\frac{h}{2}\left\{D^+[g_h(D^-u_h)^2\Delta_{g_h}u_h] - D^+(g_h(D^-u_h)^2)\Delta_{g_h}u_h\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}g_h(u_h\cdot D^-u_h)D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h &= \frac{h}{2}g_h(D^-u_h)^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h\\ &= \frac{h}{2}\left\{D^+[g_h(D^-u_h)^2\tau^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h] - D^+(g_h(D^-u_h)^2)\Delta_{g_h}u_h\right\},\end{aligned}$$

which together give

$$-\tau^+g_h(u_h\cdot D^+u_h)D^+\Delta_{g_h}u_h - g_h(u_h\cdot D^-u_h)D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h = \frac{h^2}{2}D^+[g_h(D^-u_h)^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h]. \tag{3.24}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_h\cdot\Delta_{g_h}u_h &= u_h\cdot(D^+g_hD^-u_h + \tau^+g_hD^+D^-u_h)\\ &= \frac{h}{2}D^+g_h(D^-u_h)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau^+g_h((D^-u_h)^2 + (D^+u_h)^2)\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(g_h(D^-u_h)^2 + \tau^+g_h(D^+u_h)^2),\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_h \cdot D^{\pm}\Delta_{g_h} u_h &= D^{\pm}(u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) - D^{\pm}u_h \cdot \tau^{\pm}(\Delta_{g_h} u_h) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} D^{\pm}\left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h)^2\right) - D^{\pm}u_h \cdot \tau^{\pm}(\Delta_{g_h} u_h). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.24) and (3.25) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{h^2}{2} D^+[g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h] \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} g_h D^- \left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h)^2\right) D^- u_h - g_h (D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^- u_h \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \tau^+ g_h D^+ \left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h)^2\right) D^+ u_h - \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^2_h-scalar product in (3.23) with $\frac{du_h}{dt}$ and using $u_h \cdot \frac{du_h}{dt} = 0$, $\Delta_{g_h} u_h \cdot \frac{du_h}{dt} = 0$ and

$$\Delta_{g_h}\left(\frac{du_h}{dt}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_h}(u_h) - \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h,$$

we obtain by integration by parts

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2 + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2\right) = J_1 + J_2 + I_1 + I_2^+ + I_2^- + I_3^+ + I_3^-,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= (\Delta_{g_h^t} u_h, \Delta_{g_h} u_h)_h, \\ J_2 &= (u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h, u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h)_h, \\ I_1 &= \frac{h^2}{2}\left(D^+[g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h], \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \\ I_2^+ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^+ g_h D^+ \left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h)^2\right) D^+ u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \\ I_2^- &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(g_h D^- \left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h)^2\right) D^- u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \\ I_3^+ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \\ I_3^- &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(g_h (D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^- u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate these terms, we use essentially the Hölder inequality and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.8. We start by

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 + J_2 &\leq 2|\Delta_{g_h^t} u_h|_h |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \\ &\leq 2(\beta_1' |D^+ u_h|_h + \beta_1 |D^2 u_h|_h)|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then, we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{h^2}{2}|D^+ g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ &\leq h|g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ &\leq h\beta |D^- u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} |D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ &\leq 2C\beta |D^- u_h|^2_{H^1_h} |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and on the other hand $I_2^+ = I_{21}^+ + I_{22}^+$, with

$$I_{21}^+ = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^+ g_h D^+(g_h(D^- u_h)^2)D^+ u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \quad I_{22}^+ = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^+ g_h D^+(\tau^+ g_h(D^+ u_h)^2)D^+ u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h.$$

Moreover,

$$I_{21}^+ = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau^+ g_h\left(D^+ g_h(D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g_h(D^- + \tau^+ D^-)u_h \cdot D^+ D^- u_h\right) D^+ u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21}^+ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\beta\left(\beta'|D^- u_h|_h + 2\beta|D^+ D^- u_h|_h\right)|D^- u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2 \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}C\beta\left(\beta'|D^- u_h|_h + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h\right)|D^- u_h|_{H_h^1}^2 \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we find that

$$I_{22}^+ \leq \frac{1}{2}C\beta\left(\beta'|D^- uh|_h + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h\right)|D^- u_h|_{H_h^1}^2 \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h,$$

then

$$I_2^+ \leq C\beta\left(\beta'|D^- u_h|_h + 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha}|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h\right)|D^- u_h|_{H_h^1}^2 \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h. \tag{3.28}$$

For I_3^+ we easily note that

$$I_3^+ \leq \frac{1}{2}C\beta|D^- u_h|_{H_h^1}^2|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h. \tag{3.29}$$

The two terms I_3^- and I_2^- can be estimated in the same way followed to estimate I_3^+ and I_2^+. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |D^- u_h|_{H_h^1}^2 &= |D^- u_h|_h^2 + |D^+ D^- u_h|_h^2 \\ &\leq |D^- u_h|_h^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

we get by combining (3.26), (3.27), (3.28), (3.29), (3.30) and (3.14)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2 + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2\right) \leq C_1\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2 + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h^2\right)^2 + C_2, \tag{3.31}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are two constants depending on $\alpha, \beta, \beta_1, \beta', \beta_1'$ and $|D^+ u_h^0|_h$.

Then we establish an a priori estimate in $D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}$ and $D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h$. We denote

$$A_{g_h} u_h = \frac{1}{2}(g_h(D^- u_h)^2 + \tau^+ g(D^+ u_h)^2).$$

We have found that

$$\frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} + \Delta_{g_h}^2 u_h = (u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h)\Delta_{g_h} u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 u_h + (u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h}^2 u_h)u_h + u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h + E, \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{h^2}{2}D^+[g_h(D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h] \\ &\quad -g_h D^-(A_{g_h} u_h)D^- u_h - g_h(D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h)D^- u_h \\ &\quad -\tau^+ g_h D^+(A_{g_h} u_h)D^+ u_h - \tau^+ g_h(D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h)D^+ u_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Moreover, using (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_h \cdot \Delta^2_{g_h}(u_h) &= \Delta_{g_h}(u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 - g_h D^- \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^- u_h - \tau^+ g_h D^+ \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^+ u_h \\ &= -\Delta_{g_h}(A_{g_h} u_h) - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 - g_h D^- \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^- u_h \\ &\quad -\tau^+ g_h D^+ \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^+ u_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Thus Combining (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} + \Delta^2_{g_h} u_h &= -\Delta_{g_h}(A_{g_h} u_h) u_h - A_{g_h} u_h \Delta_{g_h} u_h - \tau^+ g_h D^+(A_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h - g_h D^-(A_{g_h} u_h) D^- u_h \\ &\quad -g_h(D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^- u - g_h D^- \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^- u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 \\ &\quad -\tau^+ g_h(D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h - \tau^+ g_h D^+ \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^+ u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 \\ &\quad +\frac{h^2}{2} D^+[g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h] + u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where

$$-g_h(D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^- u - g_h D^- \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^- u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 = -D^-(\tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) u_h),$$

$$-\tau^+ g_h(D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h - \tau^+ g_h D^+ \Delta_{g_h} u \cdot D^+ u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 = -D^+(g_h (D^- u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) u_h).$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} D^+ u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h = D^+ g_h |D^+ u_h|^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_h D^+(|D^- u_h|^2) + \frac{h}{2} g_h |D^+ D^- u_h|^2, \\ D^- u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h = D^- g_h |D^- u_h|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tau^+ g_h D^-(|D^+ u_h|^2) + \frac{h}{2} \tau^+ g_h |D^+ D^- u_h|^2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} g_h D^+(|D^- u_h|^2) u_h = D^+(g_h |D^- u_h|^2 u_h) - \tau^+(|D^- u_h|^2) D^+(g_h u_h), \\ \tau^+ g_h D^-(|D^+ u_h|^2) u_h = D^-(\tau^+ g_h |D^+ u_h|^2 u_h) - \tau^-(|D^+ u_h|^2) D^-(g_h u_h), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} D^-(\tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) u_h) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_h}(g_h |D^- u_h|^2 u_h) + \frac{1}{2} D^-(\tau^+ g_h |D^- u_h|^2 [D^+ g_h u_h - \tau^+ g_h D^+ u_h]) \\ &\quad +\frac{h}{2} D^-(\tau^+ g_h g_h |D^+ D^- u_h|^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^+(g_h (D^- u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h) u_h) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_h}(\tau^+ g_h |D^+ u_h|^2 u_h) + \frac{1}{2} D^+(g_h |D^+ u_h|^2 [D^+ g_h u_h - g_h D^- u_h]) \\ &\quad +\frac{h}{2} D^+(\tau^+ g_h g_h |D^+ D^- u_h|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus equation (3.35) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} + \Delta^2_{g_h} u_h &= -2\Delta_{g_h}((A_{g_h} u_h) u_h) + u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2} D^+ \left(g_h |D^+ u_h|^2 [2 g_h D^- u_h - D^+ g_h u_h - D^- g_h \tau^- u_h] \right) \\ &\quad -\frac{h}{2}(D^+ + D^-)(\tau^+ g_h g_h |D^+ D^- u_h|^2) + \frac{h^2}{2} D^+ \left(g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Applying operator D^- on (3.36) and taking the L^2_h-scalar product with $g_h D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}$, we get, after integration by parts,

$$\frac{h}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_i g_h(x_i) \left(\left| D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}(x_i) \right|^2 + |D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h(x_i)|^2 \right) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$

with

$$I_1 = -2\left(D^-\Delta_{g_h}((A_{g_h}u_h)u_h), g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h,$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(D^-D^+(g_h|D^+u_h|^2[2g_hD^-u_h - D^+g_hu_h - D^-g_h\tau^-u_h]), g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h,$$

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(hD^-(D^+ + D^-)(\tau^+g_hg_h|D^+D^-u_h|^2), g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h,$$

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2}\left(h^2D^-D^+[g_h(D^-u_h)^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h], g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h,$$

$$J_1 = \left(D^-(u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h^t}u_h, g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt})\right)_h,$$

$$J_2 = (g_hD^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h, D^-\Delta_{g_h^t}u_h)_h,$$

$$J_3 = \frac{h}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\sum_i g_h^t(x_i)\left(\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}(x_i)\right|^2 + |D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h(x_i)|^2\right).$$

We start by estimating J_1, J_2 and J_3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|J_1| &\leq \beta|D^-u_h|_{L_h^\infty}(\beta_1|D^2u_h|_h + \beta_1'|D^+u_h|_h)\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ &\quad +\beta(2\beta_1'|D^2u_h|_h + \beta_1''|D^+u_h|_h + \beta|D^3u_h|_h)\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

$$|J_2| \leq \beta(2\beta_1'|D^2u_h|_h + \beta_1''|D^+u_h|_h + \beta|D^3u_h|_h)\left|D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h\right|_h, \tag{3.38}$$

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta_1\left(\left|D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h\right|_h^2 + \left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2\right). \tag{3.39}$$

For the term I_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\beta\{2|D^2(g_h^2|D^+u_h|^2D^-u_h)|_h + |D^2(g_hD^+g_h|D^+u_h|^2u_h)|_h \\ &\quad +|D^2(g_hD^-g_h|D^+u_h|^2\tau^-u_h)|_h\}\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|D^2(g_h^2|D^+u_h|^2D^-u_h)|_h &\leq C\{((\beta'^2 + \beta\beta'')|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2 + \beta^2|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2)|D^+u_h|_h \\ &\quad +\beta\beta'|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2|D^2u_h|_h + \beta^2|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2|D^3u_h|_h\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}|D^2(g_hD^+g_h|D^+u_h|^2u_h)|_h &\leq C\{((\beta\beta''' + 2\beta'\beta'')|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty} + (\beta'^2 + \beta\beta'')|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2)|D^+u_h|_h \\ &\quad +(\beta\beta'|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}^2 + (\beta'^2 + \beta\beta'')|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty})|D^2u_h|_h \\ &\quad +\beta\beta'|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}|D^3u_h|_h\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

The term $|D^2(g_hD^-g_h|D^+u_h|^2\tau^-u_h)|_h$ can be bounded from above by the same term of the right-hand side of (3.42). To find a suitable estimate for I_1, we first write

$$\begin{aligned}D^-\Delta_{g_h}(g_h|D^-u_h|^2u_h) &= D^2(g_hD^-(g_h|D^-u_h|^2u_h)) \\ &= D^2(g_h^2\tau^-|D^-u_h|^2D^-u_h + g_hD^-g_h\tau^-|D^-u_h|^2\tau^-u_h + g_h^2D^-(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the two terms $|D^2(g_h^2\tau^-|D^-u_h|^2D^-u_h)|_h$ and $|D^2(g_hD^-g_h\tau^-|D^-u_h|^2\tau^-u_h)|_h$ can be bounded from above by the members of right-hand sides of (3.41) and (3.42) respectively. For the term $D^2(g_h^2D^-(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h)$, we have

$$\left(D^2(g_h^2D^-(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h), g_hD^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h = I_{21} + \left(D^3(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h, g_h^3D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h, \tag{3.43}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} \leq\ & C\beta\{\beta^2|D^2u_h|^2_{L_h^\infty}|D^+u_h|h + ((\beta\beta''+\beta'^2)|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty} + \beta\beta'|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty} + \beta\beta'|D^+u_h|^2_{L_h^\infty})|D^2u_h|_h \\ & +(\beta\beta'|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty} + \beta^2|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty})|D^3u_h|_h\}|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}|_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Integrating by parts the second term of the right-hand side of (3.43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D^3(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h, g_h^3D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h =\ & -\left(D^2(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h, D^+g_h^3D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h \\ & -h\sum_i g^3(x_i)D^2(|D^-u_h|^2)(x_i)D^+(u_h\cdot D^-\frac{du_h}{dt})(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $u_h\cdot\frac{du_h}{dt}=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^+(u_h\cdot D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}) &= D^+u_h\cdot D^+\frac{du_h}{dt} + u_h\cdot D^2\frac{du_h}{dt} - D^2(u_h\cdot\frac{du_h}{dt}) \\ &= -D^2u_h\cdot\frac{du_h}{dt} - D^-u_h\cdot D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D^3(|D^-u_h|^2)u_h, g_h^3D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h \leq\ & C\{\beta^3|D^2u_h|^2_{L_h^\infty}|D^+u_h|_h + \beta'\beta^2|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty}|D^2u_h|_h \\ & +(\beta'\beta^2|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty} + \beta^3|D^+u_h|^2_{L_h^\infty})|D^3u_h|_h\}\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h \\ & +\beta^3\{|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty}|D^3u_h|_h \\ & +|D^2u_h|^2_{L_h^\infty}|D^2u_h|_h\}\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

In view of the definition of I_3 and I_4, we have

$$|I_3| \leq h\beta|D^2(g_h\tau^+g_h|D^2u_h|^2)|_h\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h,$$

and

$$|I_4| \leq \frac{1}{2}h^2\beta|D^2(g_h|D^-u_h|^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h)|_h\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h,$$

where, applying Lemma 2.2, we get

$$h|D^2(g_h\tau^+g_h|D^2u_h|^2)|_h \leq 2|D^+(g_h\tau^+g_h|D^2u_h|^2)|_h,$$

and

$$h^2|D^2(g_h|D^2u_h|^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h)|_h \leq 4|g_h|D^-u_h|^2D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h,$$

which gives together with previous estimates of I_3 and I_4

$$|I_3| \leq C\beta^2|D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty}(\beta'|D^2u_h|_h + \beta|D^3u_h|_h)|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}|_h, \tag{3.46}$$

and

$$|I_4| \leq 2\beta^2 |D^- u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} |D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h |D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}|_h.$$

Since

$$D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h = D^2 g_h D^- u_h + g_h D^3 u_h + D^+ g_h D^2 u_h + D^- g_h D^- D^- u_h, \tag{3.47}$$

we obtain

$$|I_4| \leq 2\beta^2 |D^- u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} (\beta'' |D^- u_h|_h + 2\beta' |D^2 u_h|_h + \beta |D^3 u_h|_h) \left| D^- \frac{du_h}{dt} \right|_h. \tag{3.48}$$

Combining (3.37 - 3.46) and (3.48), we finally get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} h \sum_i g_h(x_i) \left(\left| D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}(x_i) \right|^2 + |D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h(x_i)|^2 \right) \leq C A_1 A_2, \tag{3.49}$$

with $A_1 = |D^+ u_h|_{L^\infty_h} + |D^+ u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} + |D^2 u_h|_{L^\infty_h} + |D^2 u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h}$, $A_2 = |\frac{du_h}{dt}|^2_{H^1_h} + |D^2 u_h|^2_{H^1_h} + |D^+ u_h|^2_h$ and $C > 0$ is some constant depending on $\beta, \beta_1 \beta', \beta'_1, \beta'', \beta''_1$ and β'''.

3.4.2 Step 2

We construct the sequence $\{u^0_h\}_h$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Q_h u^0_h \to u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), \\ Q_h D^+ u^0_h \to \frac{du_0}{dx} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ Q_h D^2 u^0_h \to \frac{d^2 u_0}{dx^2} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ Q_h D^3 u^0_h \to \frac{d^3 u_0}{dx^3} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}), \end{cases} \tag{3.50}$$

then

Lemma 3.8 *There exists $T_1 > 0$ such that the sequences $\{\partial_t P_h u_h\}_h$, $\{\partial_t P_h D^- u_h\}_h$, $\{P_h D^2 u_h\}_h$ and $\{P_h D^3 u_h\}_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. Let $T > \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1 C_2}}$. For $t \in [0, T]$ we denote

$$G(t) = C_2 T + \left| \frac{du_h}{dt}(0) \right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h(0)|^2_h + C_1 \int_0^t \left(\left| \frac{du_h}{dt}(\tau) \right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h(\tau)|^2_h \right)^2 d\tau,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the constants of inequality (3.31), hence $\frac{1}{G} \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T)$ and in view of (3.31) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{G(t)} \right)' \leq C_1, \quad \text{almost everywhere on} \quad]0, T[.$$

then we have

$$C_1 t + \frac{1}{G(t)} \geq \frac{1}{G(0)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T[,$$

and

$$G(t) \leq \frac{G(0)}{1 - C_1 G(0) t}, \quad \forall t \in [0, (C_1 G(0))^{-1}[.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} G(0) = C_2 T + \left| \frac{du_h}{dt}(0) \right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h(0)|^2_h &\leq 2|\Delta_{g_h} u_h(0)|^2_h + C_2 T \\ &\leq 4\beta'^2 |D^+ u^0_h|^2_h + 4\beta^2 |D^+ D^- u^0_h|^2_h + C_2 T, \end{aligned}$$

the sequences $\{|D^+u_h^0|_h\}_h$ and $\{|D^+D^-u_h^0|_h\}_h$ are bounded. Thus there exists $M>0$ such that

$$4\beta'^2|D^+u_h^0|_h^2+4\beta^2|D^+D^-u_h^0|_h^2+C_2T\le M,$$

then

$$G(0)^{-1}\ge M^{-1}>0.$$

Let $\tilde{T}=\frac{1}{2}(C_1M)^{-1}$. Then, for all $t\in[0,\tilde{T}]$, we have

$$\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2+|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h^2\le G(t)\le\frac{M}{1-\frac{1}{2}M^{-1}G(0)}\le 2M. \tag{3.51}$$

In view of Corollary 2.8, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$|D^+u_h|_{L_h^\infty}\le C|D^+u_h|_{H_h^1},\quad |D^2u_h|_{L_h^\infty}\le C|D^2u_h|_{H_h^1}.$$

Thus combining (3.49) and (3.51), we have for all $t\in[0,\tilde{T}]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}h\sum_i g_h(x_i)\left(\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}(x_i)\right|^2+|D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h(x_i)|^2\right)\le C_1(|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}|_h^2+|D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h^2)^2+C_2, \tag{3.52}$$

where $C_1,C_2>0$ depend on β, β_1, β', β_1', β'', β_1'', β''', α, and M. Following the same argument in the previous part of this step, we find that there exists $K>0$ and $0<T_1\le\tilde{T}$ such that, for all $t\in[0,T_1]$, we have

$$\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h+|D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h\le K. \tag{3.53}$$

Since

$$\Delta_{g_h}u_h=D^+g_hD^+u_h+g_hD^2u_h,$$
$$D^-\Delta_{g_h}u_h=D^2g_hD^-u_h+g_hD^3u_h+D^+g_hD^2u_h+D^-g_hD^-D^-u_h,$$

we deduce from (3.51) and (3.53) that sequences $\{\left|D^-\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h\}_h$, $\{\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h\}_h$, $\{|D^2u_h|_h\}_h$, and $\{|D^3u_h|_h\}_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T_1)$. Thus the result follows from Lemma 2.7. ■

3.4.3 Step 3

We already proved, by Lemma (3.6), that there exists $u\in L^\infty(0,T,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ and a subsequence $\{u_h\}_h$ such that

$$P_hD^-u_h\to\partial_xu\quad\text{in}\quad L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R}))\quad\text{weak star},$$

for all $T>0$. In view of Lemma 3.8, there exist $v,w\in L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and a subsequence $\{u_h\}_h$ such that

$$\begin{cases} P_hD^2u_h\to v\quad\text{in}\quad L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))\quad\text{weak star},\\ P_hD^3u_h\to w\quad\text{in}\quad L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))\quad\text{weak star}.\end{cases} \tag{3.54}$$

Consequently, the sequence $\{\partial_xP_hD^-u_h\}_h$ converges to ∂_x^2u in the sense of distributions. On the other hand, $\partial_xP_hD^-u_h=Q_hD^2u_h$, and the two sequences $\{Q_hD^2u_h\}_h$ and $\{P_hD^2u_h\}_h$ converge to the same limit in $L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star (Lemma 3.1). It follows that $\partial_x^2u=v\in L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, hence $\{P_hD^2u_h\}_h$ converges to ∂_x^2u in $L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. A similar argument shows that $\partial_x^3u\in L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and thus the proof is completed.

3.5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

First, we establish the two following lemmas

Lemma 3.9 *Let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R})$ be such that $g \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $T > 0$ and $u : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R} \to S^2$ be a solution to (1.8) such that $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(0,T,H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Then there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on g and $\|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ such that for almost every $t \in]0,T[$ we have*

$$\|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Delta_g u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Delta_g u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) d\tau. \tag{3.55}$$

Proof. Taking the L^2-scalar product in (1.8) with $\Delta_g u$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)|\partial_x u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t g(x)|\partial_x u|^2 dx,$$

which gives

$$\|\partial_x u(t,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\|g\|_{L^\infty}}{\alpha}} \|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \exp\left(\frac{\|\partial_t g\|_{L^\infty}}{2\alpha} \right), \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.56}$$

Since $2u\cdot\partial_x u = \partial_x |u|^2 = 0$, and by deriving (1.8) with respect to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^2 u &= (u\wedge\Delta_g u)\wedge\Delta_g u + u\wedge\Delta_g(u\wedge\Delta_g u) + u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} u \\
&= (u\cdot\Delta_g u)\Delta_g u - |\Delta_g u|^2 u + u\wedge(\Delta_g u\wedge\Delta_g u + 2g\partial_x u\wedge\partial_x\Delta_g u + u\wedge\Delta_g^2 u) + u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} \\
&= (u\cdot\Delta_g u)\Delta_g u - |\Delta_g u|^2 u + 2g(u\cdot\partial_x\Delta_g u)\partial_x u + (u\cdot\Delta_g^2 u)u - \Delta_g^2 u + u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} u.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

It is clear that $\partial_t u\cdot u = 0$, then taking the L^2-scalar product in (3.57) with $\partial_t u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\Delta_g u|^2) dx &= 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u\cdot\partial_x\Delta_g u)(\partial_x u\cdot\partial_t u) dx \\
&\quad +2\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} u)\cdot(u\wedge\Delta_g u) dx \\
&\quad +2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_t g} u\cdot\Delta_g u dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u\cdot\partial_x\Delta_g u &= \partial_x(u\cdot\Delta_g u) - \partial_x u\cdot\Delta_g u \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\partial_x(g|\partial_x u|^2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x g|\partial_x u|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} u)\cdot(u\wedge\Delta_g u) &= \Delta_{\partial_t g} u\cdot\Delta_g u - (u\cdot\Delta_{\partial_t g} u)(u\cdot\Delta_g u) \\
&= \Delta_{\partial_t g} u\cdot\Delta_g u - \frac{1}{2}\partial_t g^2 |\partial_x u|^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Then, integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\Delta_g|^2) dx &= \frac{3}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2|\partial_x u|^4 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x g|\partial_x u|^2(\partial_x u\cdot\partial_t u) dx \\
&\quad -\frac{5}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t g^2|\partial_x u|^4 dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_t g} u\cdot\Delta_g u dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

We denote

$$I(u) = \|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Delta_g u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 |\partial_x u|^4 dx,$$

$$J(u) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x g |\partial_x u|^2 (\partial_x u \cdot \partial_t u) dx - \frac{5}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t g^2 |\partial_x u|^4 dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_t g} u \cdot \Delta_g u dx.$$

Relation (3.60) can be rewritten as

$$\|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Delta_g u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = I(u(0,.)) + \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 |\partial_x u|^4 dx + 2\int_0^t J(u(\tau)) d\tau. \tag{3.61}$$

Then applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on $\partial_x u$, we get

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_6 \|\partial_x u\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x^2 u\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|\partial_x u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_4 \|\partial_x u\|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x^2 u\|^{\frac{1}{4}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \end{cases} \tag{3.62}$$

with $K_6, K_4 > 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\|g\partial_x^2 u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\|\Delta_g u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|\partial_x g \partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{3.63}$$

To find a suitable estimate for $I(u(0,.))$, we use the relation

$$|\partial_t u|^2 = |u \wedge \Delta_g u|^2 = |\Delta_g u|^2 - g^2 |\partial_x u|^4,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u(0,.)) &= 2\|\Delta_g u(0,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - \frac{5}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 |\partial_x u(0,.)|^4 dx \\ &\leq 2\|\Delta_g u(0,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{5}{2} K_4^4 \|\partial_x u(0,.)\|^3_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x^2 u(0,.)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Thus, inequalities (3.56), (3.62), (3.63) and (3.64) together with $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ allow, by using Hölder inequality, to upper-bound the right hand side of (3.61) by

$$C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\Delta_g u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) d\tau,$$

where the two constants C_1 and C_2 depend on g and $\|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$.

■

Corollary 3.10 *Under the assumptions of lemma 3.9, we have for almost every $t \in]0, T[$*

$$\|\partial_x^2 u(t,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq D_1 e^{D_2 t},$$

where D_1 and D_2 are two positive constants depending on g and $\|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$.

Proof. Let

$$\psi(t) = \|\partial_t u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Inequality (3.55) implies that

$$\psi(t) \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau,$$

then conclusion follows from Grönwall lemma. ■

Lemma 3.11 *Let $g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ be such that $g \geq \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $T > 0$ and $u : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R} \to S^2$ be a solution to (1.8) such that $\partial_x u \in L^\infty(0,T,H^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Then there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on g and $\|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}$ such that for almost every $t \in]0,T[$ we have*

$$\|\partial_t\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^3 u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 + C_2\int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t\partial_x u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^3 u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right) d\tau.$$

Proof. Since

$$u\cdot\Delta_g^2 u = \Delta_g(u\cdot\Delta_g u) - 2g\partial_x u\cdot\partial_x\Delta_g u - |\Delta_g u|^2, \tag{3.65}$$

we get by combining (3.57), (3.58) and (3.65)

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^2 u + \Delta_g^2 u &= u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g}u - \Delta_g(g|\partial_x u|^2) - g|\partial_x u|^2\Delta_g u - 2\partial_x(g|\partial_x u|^2)\partial_x u\\ &\quad -2g(\partial_x u\cdot\Delta_g u)\partial_x u - 2g(\partial_x u\cdot\partial_x\Delta_g u)u - 2|\Delta_g u|^2\\ &= u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} - \Delta_g(g|\partial_x u|^2 u) - 2\partial_x(g(\partial_x u\cdot\Delta_g u)u)\\ &= u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g} - 2\Delta_g\left(|\partial_x u|^2 u\right) + \partial_x\left(|\partial_x u|^2(g\partial_x u - \partial_x g u)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

Deriving (3.66) with respect to x and taking the L^2-scalar product with $g\partial_t\partial_x u$, we get by integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(|\partial_t\partial_x u|^2 + |\partial_x\Delta_g u|^2\right)dx &= -2\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x\Delta_g\left(|\partial_x u|^2 u\right)\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u dx\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x^2\left(|\partial_x u|^2(g\partial_x u - g' u)\right)\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u dx\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x\left(u\wedge\Delta_{\partial_t g}u\right)\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u dx\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\partial_x\Delta_{\partial_t g}u\cdot\partial_x\partial_t\Delta_g u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_t g|\partial_x\Delta_g u|^2 dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

We estimate the L^2 norm of the right-hand side of (3.66) by applying the chain rule on the operators $\partial_x\Delta_g$ and ∂_x^2. All the terms of the right hand side of (3.67) except for

$$J_1 = -2\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^3\partial_x^3(|\partial_x u|^2)u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u dx,$$

can be upper-bounded by $C\left(\|\partial_t\partial_x u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^3 u(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right)$. To estimate J_1, we integrate by parts. Hence we get

$$J_1 = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_x^2(|\partial_x u|^2)\partial_x(g^3 u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u)dx,$$

then we develop

$$\partial_x(u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u) = \partial_x u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u + u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x^2 u = \partial_x u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u + u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x^2 u - \partial_x^2(u\cdot\partial_t u) = -\partial_x u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u - \partial_x^2 u\cdot\partial_t u.$$

Thus we get

$$J_1 = 6\int_{\mathbb{R}} g' g^2\partial_x^2(|\partial_x u|^2)u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u dx - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^3\partial_x^2(|\partial_x u|^2)(\partial_x u\cdot\partial_t\partial_x u + \partial_x^2 u\cdot\partial_t u)dx,$$

and the conclusion holds from Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding. ■

Corollary 3.12 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.11, we have for almost every $t \in]0,T[$*

$$\|\partial_x^3 u(t,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq D_1 e^{D_2 t},$$

where D_1 and D_2 are two positive constants depending on g and $\|\partial_x u(0,.)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}$.

Proof. The proof is an immediate result of Grönwall lemma. ■

3.5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We denote $\omega = u - \tilde{u}$ and $\omega_0 = u_0 - \tilde{u}_0$. In what follows, we prove that there exist $C_k > 0, \quad k = 1, .., 5$, depending on g and the H^2 norm of $\frac{d\tilde{u}_0}{dx}$ and $\frac{du_0}{dx}$, such that for almost every $t \in]0, T_1[$ we have

$$\|\omega\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 \|\omega_0\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + C_2 \int_0^t \|\omega(\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} d\tau, \tag{3.68}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \omega\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^2 \omega\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_3 \|\omega_0\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + C_4 \left(\|\partial_t \omega|_{t=0}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^2 \omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\ &\quad + C_5 \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t \omega(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x^2 \omega(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

Applying (3.10) and (3.66) on u and $\tilde{u}$ and subtracting, we get

$$\partial_t \omega = z \wedge \Delta_g \omega + \omega \wedge \Delta_g z, \tag{3.70}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 \omega + \Delta_g^2 \omega &= z \wedge \Delta_{\partial_t g} \omega + \omega \wedge \Delta_{\partial_t g} z - 2\Delta_g (gQ\omega) + \partial_x \left(Q(g\partial_x \omega - \partial_x g \omega) \right) \\ &\quad -4\Delta_g \left(g(\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x \omega) z \right) + 2\partial_x \left((\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x \omega)(g\partial_x z - \partial_x g z) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

with $z = \frac{1}{2}(u + \tilde{u})$ and $Q = \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_x u|^2 + |\partial_x \tilde{u}|^2 \right)$. Multiplying (3.70) by ω, we find that $|\omega|^2 = 2(z \wedge \Delta_g \omega) \cdot \omega$, which means that $\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, integrating by parts and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\omega|^2 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\omega \wedge \partial_x z) \cdot \partial_x \omega \\ &\leq 2 \|g \partial_x z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|g \partial_x z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\omega\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

Next, we take the L^2-scalar product in (3.70) with $\Delta_g \omega$. Integrating by parts and using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g |\partial_x \omega|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t |\partial_x \omega|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \partial_x (\omega \wedge \Delta_g z) \cdot \partial_x \omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t |\partial_x \omega|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g (\omega \wedge \partial_x \Delta_g z) \cdot \partial_x \omega \\ &\leq (\|\partial_t g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|g \partial_x \Delta_g z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \|\omega\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

Thus, (3.68) holds from Corollaries 3.10 and 3.12 and from Sobolev embedding [3] after summing (3.72) and (3.73).

Finally, taking the L^2-scalar product in (3.71) with $\partial_t \omega$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_t \omega|^2 + |\Delta_g \omega|^2) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - 2E_1 - 4E_2 + E_3 + 2E_4,$$

with

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\partial_t g} \omega \cdot \Delta_g \omega,$$

[3] There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} z \wedge \Delta_{\partial_t g}\omega \cdot \partial_t \omega, \quad I_3 = \int_R \omega \wedge \Delta_{\partial_t g} z \cdot \partial_t \omega,$$

$$E_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_g (gQ\omega) \cdot \partial_t \omega, \quad E_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left(Q(g\partial_x \omega - g'\omega)\right) \cdot \partial_t \omega,$$

$$E_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_g \left(g(\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x \omega)z\right) \cdot \partial_t \omega, \quad E_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left((\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x \omega)(g\partial_x z - g'z)\right) \cdot \partial_t \omega.$$

The terms I_1, I_2, I_3, E_1, E_3 and E_4 can be estimated by applying Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Applying the chain rule on Δ_g, the term E_2 can be written

$$E_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 (\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x^3 \omega)(z \cdot \partial_t \omega) + E_{21},$$

where E_{21} can be estimating by using Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding. Finally, we have $z \cdot \partial_t \omega = -\omega \cdot \partial_t z$ (since $|u|^2 - |\tilde{u}|^2 = 0$) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 (\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x^3 \omega)(z \cdot \partial_t \omega) = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g' g (\partial_x z \cdot \partial_x^2 \omega)(z \cdot \partial_t \omega) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2 \partial_x^2 \omega \cdot \partial_x \left((\omega \cdot \partial_t z)\partial_x z\right),$$

which is now in a suitable form to be estimated as above. This yields the desired claim at the H^2 level.

3.6 Proof of Theorem 1.6

We construct a solution $\gamma \in L^\infty(0, T_1, H^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ for the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \gamma = g(t, x, \gamma)\partial_x \gamma \wedge \partial_x^2 \gamma, \\ \gamma(0, .) = \gamma_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.74}$$

as a limit, when $h \to 0$, of a sequence $\{\gamma_h\}_h$ of solutions to the semi-discrete system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\gamma_h}{dt} = g_h D^+ \gamma_h \wedge D^2 \gamma_h, \quad t > 0, \\ \gamma_h(0) = \gamma_h^0, \end{cases} \tag{3.75}$$

where $\gamma_h^0 = \{\gamma_h^0(x_i)\}_i \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}_h}$ is such that $|D^+\gamma_h^0(x_i)| = 1$, and $g_h = \{g(t, x_i, \gamma_h^0(x_i))\}_i$. We denote $u_h = D^+\gamma_h, g_h^t = \partial_t g(t, x_i, \gamma(x_i))$ and $\Delta_{g_h} u_h = D^+(g_h D^- u_h)$. Then, applying D^+ on (3.75), we get

$$\frac{du_h}{dt} = u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h. \tag{3.76}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\sum_i (g\gamma_h |D^- u_h|^2)(x_i) &= \sum_i \frac{d\gamma_h(x_i)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_\gamma g(t, x_i, \gamma_h(x_i))|D^- u_h(x_i)|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_i g_h^t(x_i)|D^- u_h(x_i)|^2 + \sum_i \left(g_h D^- u_h \cdot D^- \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$h\sum_i (g_h D^- u_h \cdot D^- \frac{du_h}{dt})(x_i) = -\left(\Delta_{g_h} u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h = 0.$$

Thus, using $\frac{d\gamma_h}{dt} = g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\sum_i (g_h|D^- u_h|^2)(x_i) &\leq \|\nabla_\gamma g\|_{L^\infty}|D^- u_h|_{L^\infty_h}\sum_i (g_h|D^- u_h|^2)(x_i)\\ &\quad +\|\partial_t g\|_{L^\infty}\sum_i |D^- u_h(x_i)|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

To get another estimate in $|\Delta_{g_h}|_h$, we derive (3.76) with respect to t. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} &= \frac{du_h}{dt}\wedge \Delta_{g_h} uh + u_h \wedge \frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_h} u_h\\ &= (u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h)\wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h\\ &\quad + u_h \wedge \left(D^+\left(\frac{d\gamma_h}{dt}\cdot \nabla g(\gamma_h) D^- u_h\right) + \Delta_{g_h}\left(\frac{du_h}{dt}\right) + \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

Next, we denote

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h = D^+\left(g_h(u_h \wedge D^- u_h \cdot \nabla g(\gamma_h)) D^- u_h\right),$$

hence (3.78) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} = (u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h)\wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h + u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h}(u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h) + u_h \wedge (\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h + \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h). \tag{3.79}$$

Repeating the same calculus as in (3.32), we get

$$\frac{d^2 u_h}{dt^2} + \Delta^2_{g_h} u_h = (u_h \cdot \Delta_{g_h} u_h)\Delta_{g_h} u_h - |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2 u_h + (u_h \cdot \Delta^2_{g_h} u_h) u_h + u_h \wedge (\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h + \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h) + E, \tag{3.80}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{h^2}{2} D^+[g_h (D^- u_h)^2 D^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h]\\ &\quad - g_h D^-(A_{g_h} u_h) D^- u_h - g_h (D^- u_h \cdot \tau^- \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^- u_h\\ &\quad - \tau^+ g_h D^+(A_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h - \tau^+ g_h (D^+ u_h \cdot \tau^+ \Delta_{g_h} u_h) D^+ u_h. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^2_h-scalar product in (3.80) with $\frac{du_h}{dt}$ and using both $u_h \cdot \frac{du_h}{dt} = 0$ and $\Delta_{g_h} u_h \cdot \frac{du_h}{dt} = 0$, we get by integration by parts

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h + \left(\Delta_{g_h} u_h, \Delta_{g_h}\left(\frac{du_h}{dt}\right)\right)_h = I + \left(u_h \wedge \tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h.$$

where $I = \left(E, \frac{du_h}{dt}\right)_h$. We have

$$\Delta_{g_h}\left(\frac{du_h}{dt}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{g_h} u_h - \tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h - \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h} u_h|_h\right) = I + \left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h + \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h, \Delta_{g_h} u_h\right)_h + \left(u_h \wedge (\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h} u_h + \Delta_{g_h^t} u_h), u_h \wedge \Delta_{g_h} u_h\right)_h.$$

We know that g_h and $D^+ g_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty_h)$ by $\beta = \|g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)}$ and $\beta' = \|\partial_x g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)} + \|\nabla_\gamma g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)}$ respectively. Thus by following the same calculus in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we find that there exists $C_1 = C_1(\alpha,\beta,\beta') > 0$ such that

$$I \leq C_1 |D^+ u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h}(|\Delta_{g_h} u_h|^2_h + |D^+ u_h|^2_h + \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h). \tag{3.81}$$

To find a suitable estimate for the term $\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h}u_h, \Delta_{g_h}u_h\right)_h$, we first rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h}u_h &= D^+\left(g_h(u_h \wedge D^-u_h \cdot \nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))D^-u_h\right)\\ &= (u_h \wedge D^-u_h.\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))\Delta_{g_h}u_h + \tau^+ g_h D^+(u_h \wedge D^-u_h.\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))D^+u_h\\ &= (u_h \wedge D^-u_h.\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))\Delta_{g_h}u_h + \tau^+ g_h(u_h \wedge D^2u_h.\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))D^+u_h\\ &\quad +\tau^+ g_h\left(u_h \wedge D^-u_h.D^+(\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))\right)D^+u_h.\end{aligned}$$

The term $D^+(\nabla_\gamma g(\gamma_h))$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty_h)$ by $\beta'' = \|\partial_x\nabla_\gamma g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)} + \|\nabla^2_\gamma g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{g_h}u_h, \Delta_{g_h}u_h\right)_h &\leq \beta'|D^-u_h|_{L^\infty_h}|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2_h + \beta'|D^+u_h|_{L^\infty_h}|\tau^+D^2u_h|_h|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h\\ &\quad +\beta\beta''|D^+u_h|_{L^\infty_h}|D^+u_h|_h. \qquad (3.82)\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $\Delta_{g^t_h}u_h = D^+g^t_hD^-u_h + \tau^+g^t_hD^2u_h$, then the two terms $\tau^+g^t_h$ and $D^+g^t_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty_h)$ by $\beta_1 = \|\partial_t g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)}$ and $\beta'_1 = \|\partial_t\partial_x g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)} + \|\partial_t\nabla_\gamma g\|_{L^\infty(0,T,L^\infty)}$ respectively. Then we have

$$\left(\Delta_{g^t_h}u_h, \Delta_{g_h}u_h\right)_h \leq (\beta'_1|D^-u_h|_h + \beta_1|D^2u_h|_h)|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h. \qquad (3.83)$$

Using inequality $|\tau^+D^2u_h|_h \leq |\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h + \beta'|D^+u_h|_h$ together with (3.77), (3.81), (3.83) and (3.82), we find that there exists $C = C(\alpha,\beta,\beta_1,\beta',\beta'_1,\beta'')$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h + h\sum_i[g_h|D^+u_h|^2](x_i)\right) &\leq C\left(|D^+u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} + |D^+u_h|_{L^\infty_h}\right)\\ &\quad \times\left(|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2_h + |D^+u_h|^2_h + |D^+u_h|_h + \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h\right).\end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.8, there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ and $C = C(\alpha,\beta') > 0$ such that

$$|D^+u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} \leq C|D^+u_h|^2_{H^1_h} \leq C\tilde{C}(|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2_h + |D^+u_h|^2_h).$$

This implies the existence of two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on α, β, β_1, β' β'_1, and β'' such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h + |\Delta_{g_h}u_h|_h + h\sum_i[g_h|D^+u_h|^2](x_i)\right) \leq C_1\left(|\Delta_{g_h}u_h|^2_h + |D^+u_h|^2_h + \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|^2_h\right)^2 + C_2. \qquad (3.84)$$

We construct now the sequence $\{\gamma^0_h\}$ such that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} Q_h\gamma^0_h \to \gamma_0 & \text{in} & L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}),\\ Q_hD^+\gamma^0_h \to \frac{d\gamma_0}{dx} & \text{in} & L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}),\\ Q_hD^2\gamma^0_h \to \frac{d^2\gamma_0}{dx^2} & \text{in} & L^2(\mathbb{R}),\\ Q_hD^3\gamma^0_h \to \frac{d^3\gamma_0}{dx^3} & \text{in} & L^2(\mathbb{R}).\end{array}\right. \qquad (3.85)$$

Thus we have

Lemma 3.13 *There exists $T_1 > 0$ such that*

1. *The two sequences $\{P_h\gamma_h\}_h$ and $\{P_hu_h\}_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T_1,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$.*
2. *The sequences $\{\partial_tP_hu_h\}_h$, $\{\partial_tP_h\gamma_h\}_h$, $\{P_hD^+u_h\}_h$ and $\{P_hD^2u_h\}_h$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T_1,L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. Following the same steps in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we find that there exists $T_1 > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that for almost every $t \in]0, T_1[$, we have

$$|D^+ u_h|_h^2 + |D^2 u_h|_h^2 + \left|\frac{du_h}{dt}\right|_h^2 \leq M. \tag{3.86}$$

To prove 1, let $L > 0$. We denote $N = E(\frac{L}{h}) + 1$. Since

$$\|P_h\gamma_h(t)\|_{H^1(-L,L)} \leq \sqrt{2L}|P_h\gamma_h(t,0)| + (2L+1)\|\partial_x P_h\gamma_h(t)\|_{L^2(-L,L)}, \tag{3.87}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |P_h\gamma_h(t,0)| &= |\gamma_h(t,0)| \\ &\leq |\gamma_h(0,0)| + T_1 \left\| \frac{d}{dt}\gamma_h(.,0)\right\|_{L^\infty(0,T_1)} \\ &\leq |\gamma_h(0,0)| + T_1\beta\|D^- u_h(.,0)\|_{L^\infty(0,T_1)} \\ &\leq |\gamma_h(0,0)| + T_1\beta \sup_{\tau\in[0,T]} |D^- u_h(\tau,.)|_{L_h^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

inequality (3.86) together with Lemma 2.8 imply the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|P_h\gamma_h(t,0)| \leq |\gamma_h^0(0)| + CT_1\beta\sqrt{M}, \tag{3.88}$$

for almost every $t \in]0, T_1[$. To estimate the second term of the right-hand side of (3.87), we write

$$\|\partial_x P_h\gamma_h\|^2_{L^2(-L,L)} = \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |D^+\gamma_h(x_i)|^2 dx \leq 2L + h, \tag{3.89}$$

hence we find that for almost every $t \in]0, T_1[$, [4]

$$\|P_h\gamma_h(t)\|_{H^1(-L,L)} \leq \sqrt{2L}(|\gamma_0(0)| + CT_1\beta\sqrt{M}) + (2L+1)^2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_h u_h\|^2_{H^1(-L,L)} &= \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left|\frac{x_i - x}{h}u_h(x_i) + \frac{x - x_i}{h}u_h(x_{i+1})\right|^2 dx + \sum_i h\left|\frac{u_h(x_i) - u_h(x_{i+1})}{h}\right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \frac{h}{3}\left(|u_h(x_i)|^2 + |u_h(x_{i+1})|^2 + u_h(x_i)u_h(x_{i+1})\right) + |D^+ u_h|_h^2 \\ &\leq 2L + 1 + M. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of 1.

Property 2 is an immediate result of (3.88) and Lemma 2.7. ■

Lemma 3.13 together with Lemma 3.3 imply the existence of $u, \gamma \in L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$, $\omega, v \in L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, and two subsequences $\{\gamma_h\}_h$ and $\{u_h\}_h$ such that

$$\begin{cases} P_h\gamma_h \to \gamma \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(0, T_1, L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{and almost everywhere,} \\ \partial_t P_h\gamma_h \to \partial_t\gamma \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{weak star,} \\ P_h u_h \to u \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(0, T_1, L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{and almost everywhere,} \\ P_h D^- u_h \to v \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{weak star,} \\ P_h D^2 u_h \to w \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{weak star.} \end{cases} \tag{3.90}$$

[4] It is possible to define $\{\gamma_h^0\}_h$ by

$$\gamma_h^0(x_i) = \gamma_0(x_i), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

hence $\gamma_h^0(0) = \gamma_0(0)$.

It follows that $\{\partial_x P_h u_h\}_h$ converges to $\partial_x u$ in the sense of distributions and, since $\partial_x P_h u_h = Q_h D^+ u_h$, we also have $\partial_x u = v \in L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. We now prove that $\{P_h(g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h)\}_h$ converges to $g(\gamma)u \wedge \partial_x u$ in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. We first note that

$$Q_h(g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h) = g(Q_h\gamma_h)Q_h u_h \wedge Q_h D^- u_h.$$

This implies that the sequence $\{Q_h(g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h)\}_h$ converges to $g(\gamma)u \wedge \partial_x u$ in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. In view of Lemma 3.1, the two sequences $\{Q_h(g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h)\}_h$ and $\{P_h(g_h u_h \wedge D^- u_h)\}_h$ converge to the same limit. Since $\{\partial_t P_h \gamma_h\}_h$ converges to $\partial_t \gamma$ in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star, we finally get

$$\partial_t \gamma = g(\gamma)u \wedge \partial_x u. \tag{3.91}$$

Thus to complete this proof, it suffices to show that $\partial_x \gamma = u$ and that $\partial_x^2 u \in L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. The sequence $\{\partial_x P_h \gamma_h\}_h$ converges to $\partial_x \gamma$ in the sense of distributions. On the other hand, we have $\partial_x P_h \gamma_h = Q_h D^+ \gamma_h = Q_h u_h$, and the sequence $\{Q_h u_h\}_h$ converges to u in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$. Indeed, for $L > 0$ and $N = E(\frac{L}{h}) + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_h u_h - P_h u_h\|^2_{L^2(-L,L)} &\leq \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |D^+ u_h(x_i)|^2 (x - x_i)^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3} N |D^+ u_h|^2_{L^\infty_h} h^3 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3} C(L + h) |D^+ u_h|^2_{H^1_h} h^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3} CM(L + h) h^2,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\partial_x \gamma = u.$$

The sequence $\{\partial_x P_h D^- u_h\}_h$ converges to $\partial_x^2 u$ in the sense of distributions. We have $\partial_x P_h D^- u_h = Q_h D^2 u_h$, and in view of Lemma 3.1, the two sequences $\{Q_h D^2 u_h\}_h$ and $\{P_h D^2 u_h\}_h$ converge to the same limit in $L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ weak star. Thus $\partial_x^2 u = w \in L^\infty(0, T_1, L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

References

[1] R. J. Arms and F. R. Hama. Localized-induction concept on a curved vortex and motion of an elliptic vortex ring. *Phys. Fluids*, 8:553–559, 1965.

[2] V. Banica and L. Vega. On the stability of a singular vortex dynamics. *Comm. math. Phys.*, 286(2):593–627, 2009.

[3] V. Banica and L. Vega. Scattering for 1D cubic NLS and singular vortex dynamics. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 14:209–253, 2012.

[4] V. Banica and L. Vega. Stability of the self-similar dynamics of a vortex filament. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 210:673–712, 2013.

[5] C. Bardos, P. Sulem, and C. Sulem. On the continuous limits for a system of classical spins. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 107:5782–5804, 1985.

[6] G. K. Batchelor. *An introduction to the fluid dynamics*, volume Cambridge Math. Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1967.

[7] T. F. Buttke. A numercial study of superfluid turbulence in the self-induction approximation. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 76:301–326, 1988.

[8] B.L. Guo and Y.L. Zhou. Existence of weak solution for boundary problems of systems of ferromagnetic chain. *Sinica Ser. A*, 27(8):799–811, 1984.

[9] S. Gutiérrez, J. Rivas, and L. Vega. Formation of singularities and self-similar vortex motion under the localized induction approximation. *Comm. Part. Diff. Eqns.*, 28:927–968, 2003.

[10] H. Hasimoto. A soliton on a vortex filament. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 51:477–485, 1972.

[11] R. L. Jerrard. Voretx filament dynamics for Gross-Pitaevskii type equation. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa. Cl. Sci.*, 1(5):733–768, 2002.

[12] R. L. Jerrard and D. Smets. On the motion of a curve by its binormal curvature. *Jour. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.*

[13] R. L. Jerrard and D. Smets. On Schrödinger maps from T^1 to S^2. *Ann. Sci. ENS*, 45(4):635–678, 2012.

[14] F. De la Hoz, C. G. Cervera, and L. Vega. A numercial study of the self-similar solutions of the Schrödinger map. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 70(4):1047–1077, 2009.

[15] M. Lakshmanan and M. Daniel. On the evolution of higher dimensional Heisenberg continuum spin systems. *Physics A*, 107:533–552, 1981.

[16] L. S. Da Rios. Sul moto di un filetto vorticoso di forma qualunque. *Rend. del Circolo Mat. di Palermo*, 22:117–135, 1906.

[17] P. G. Saffman. *Vortex dynamics*, volume Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics and App. Maths. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992.

[18] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T, B)$. *Annali di matematica pura ed applicata*, CXLVI(4):65–96, 1987.